# **Review article**

# Effects of Strength and Plyometric Training on Vertical Jump, Linear Sprint, and Change-of-Direction Speed in Female Adolescent Team Sport Athletes: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

# Hua Luo<sup>1</sup>, Xiaolin Zhu<sup>2</sup>, Nurul Amelina Nasharuddin<sup>3</sup>, Tengku Fadilah Tengku Kamalden<sup>4</sup> and Changqing Xiang<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Sport Studies, Faculty of Educational Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Malaysia; <sup>2</sup> College of Sport and Art, Shenzhen Technology University, China; <sup>3</sup> Department of Multimedia, Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Malaysia; <sup>4</sup> National Sports Institute (ISN), National Sports Complex, Bukit Jalil 57000, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia; <sup>5</sup> Faculty of Physical Education, Hubei University of Arts and Science, China

# Abstract

This systematic review and meta-analysis examines the effects of strength training (ST) and plyometric training (PT) on vertical jump (VJ), linear sprint (LS), and change-of-direction speed (CODS) in female adolescent team sport athletes. Additionally, it explores potential moderator variables, such as programming variables and participant characteristics, that may influence these training effects. Eligibility criteria: Randomized controlled trials examining the effects of ST or PT interventions lasting  $\geq 4$  weeks on VJ, LS, or CODS in female adolescent team sport athletes (aged 10 - 19 years) were included. A systematic search was conducted in PubMed, Web of Science, Cochrane, Embase, and SPORTDiscus from inception to August 28, 2024. The risk of bias of the included studies was assessed using the latest version of the Cochrane risk-of-bias tool for randomized trials (ROB-2). Meta-analyses were performed in Stata15.0 using random-effects models. Subgroup analyses were conducted based on the participant characteristics (age, height, weight, and type of sports) and programming variables (duration, frequency, and total sessions). Twenty-six studies involving 705 female adolescent team sport athletes were included. Meta-analyses revealed that ST and PT exhibited significant (p < 0.05) and moderate to large effects on VJ (ST, ES: 0.74, moderate; PT, ES: 0.87, moderate), LS (ST, ES: -1.26, large; PT, ES: -1.23, large), and CODS (ST, ES: -1.16, moderate; PT, ES: -1.20, moderate). Subgroup analysis indicated that for ST, training protocols of  $\geq 10$  weeks,  $\leq 2$  sessions/week, or  $\leq$  20 sessions were more effective. ST also showed greater benefits for athletes > 15 years old (LS),  $\geq$  163 cm (LS, CODS),  $\geq$  63 kg, and handball players. For PT, protocols of > 9 weeks, > 14 sessions (VJ), or  $\geq$  18 sessions (CODS) were more effective, with handball players responding best to PT. The overall risk of bias of the included studies was judged as moderate. The certainty of evidence was rated as moderate to high based on the GRADE approach. Both ST and PT are effective in improving VJ, LS, and CODS in female adolescent team sport athletes. Longer duration, lower frequency, or fewer sessions of ST produce better effects. Older and more mature athletes are better adapted to ST, likely due to increased muscle mass, hormonal factors, and neuromuscular adaptations. Longer duration or more sessions of PT produce better effects. Handball players showed a greater response to both ST and PT than athletes of other sports, according to observed trends.

**Key words:** Resistance training, Plyometric exercise, Exercise test, Physical conditioning, Physical fitness.

# Introduction

Team sports (e.g., basketball, football, volleyball, and handball) are characterized by intermittent high-intensity efforts (Bangsbo, 1994; Ben Abdelkrim et al., 2010; Bishop and Girard, 2013; Chelly et al., 2011). A variety of repeated high-intensity movements such as sprint, jump, and change of direction are required throughout the match (Datson et al., 2014; Faude et al., 2012; Luteberget and Spencer, 2017; Pereira et al., 2018; Scanlan et al., 2015; Stojanović et al., 2018; Taylor et al., 2017), which, often driven by strength, explosiveness, and speed, not only are critical to the success in the match (Chaouachi et al., 2009; Duncan et al., 2006; Gabbett, 2000; Ostojic et al., 2006; Stølen et al., 2005) but also determine the athletic performance in key scenarios such as retaining or regaining possession of the ball, creating offensive opportunities, executing fast breaks, and contesting aerial duels (Datson et al., 2014; Faude et al., 2012; Hughes et al., 2023). Research also suggests that sprint speed, change-of-direction speed (CODS), and jump ability are important indicators for assessing the sporting potential (i.e., future success in competitive settings) of adolescent players, which are not only closely related to current athletic performance but key factors for the future development into elite athletes (Keiner et al., 2021; Reilly et al., 2000; Vaeyens et al., 2006). These abilities contribute to essential game-related actions such as acceleration, rapid directional changes, and explosive movements, which are critical for success in higher levels of competition. In addition, adolescence is a critical period of physical development, in which the neuromuscular system is highly plastic (Lloyd and Oliver, 2012). Therefore, targeted training of strength, explosiveness, and speed during this period can improve the current athletic performance and also lay a solid foundation for future sports performance (Faigenbaum and Myer, 2010; Lloyd and Oliver, 2012; Radnor et al., 2018). The physical development and athletic performance of female adolescent athletes are influenced by specific physiological characteristics. Changes in sex hormone levels during adolescence may affect muscular strength and neurological adaptations (Carmichael et al., 2021; Lloyd and Oliver, 2012), resulting in unique challenges for females in developing strength and explosiveness. Therefore, designing/selecting training programs specifically for female adolescents is of particular importance. However, there is a lack of sex-specific meta-analytical evidence focusing on female adolescent team sport athletes, which limits the development of targeted training strategies.

Strength training (ST) and plyometric training (PT) are widely recognized as effective means for enhancing athletic performance and contributing to long-term sports development in adolescent athletes (Lloyd et al., 2016). Compared with adults, adolescent athletes exhibit distinct physiological and neuromuscular characteristics, including ongoing musculoskeletal development, lower baseline strength levels, and heightened neural plasticity (Lloyd et al., 2014; Lloyd and Oliver, 2012). These differences influence their responses to ST and PT, necessitating tailored training strategies rather than direct extrapolation from adult data. Research suggests that ST helps improve strength, jump, and speed performance in adolescent athletes by increasing muscular strength and maximal power output (Chelly et al., 2009; Comfort et al., 2014; Peñailillo et al., 2016). PT enhances neuromuscular coordination and explosiveness by rapid stretch-shortening cycle (SSC) movements (Radnor et al., 2018; Ramirez-Campillo et al., 2018; Taube et al., 2012). The positive response of adolescents to ST and PT, including significant improvements in muscular strength, power, sprint performance, jump ability, and change-of-direction, has been well documented in many recent meta-analyses (Behm et al., 2017; Behringer et al., 2011; Harries et al., 2012; Lesinski et al., 2016; Michaleff and Kamper, 2011; Moran et al., 2017; Peitz et al., 2018; Slimani et al., 2018). However, these studies either focused solely on male adolescents or did not distinguish female adolescents from males in their effect estimates. Given that male and female athletes exhibit distinct strength development trajectories, neuromuscular adaptations, and injury susceptibility, failing to account for these differences may result in suboptimal training recommendations and performance outcomes (Hunter and Senefeld, 2024; Thompson and Han, 2019). Due to gender differences in biomechanical and physiological characteristics, it may not be reasonable to apply evidence from male athletes to female athletes (Emmonds et al., 2019). Consequently, training programs should be tailored to address these sex-specific differences to maximize effectiveness and minimize injury risk. Few studies are available now on female adolescents, and there is a lack of adequate scientific guidance regarding training protocols and performance assessment in practice. To our knowledge, only two meta-analyses were conducted to independently assess the effects of ST or PT on physical fitness in female adolescents (Moran et al., 2019; Moran et al., 2018). However, these studies focused on general adolescents and single performance variables, rather than trained female team sport athletes. As shown in previous research, adolescents' responses to these trainings vary by population, type of training, and outcomes (Behm et al., 2017; Lesinski et al., 2016). For example, Behm et al. found that the increases in strength and explosiveness are milder in trained adolescent athletes than in untrained ones, which is attributed to differences in baseline fitness and training history (Behm et al., 2017). As far as we know, no systematic reviews and meta-analyses have been conducted on the effects of ST and PT on the physical fitness in female adolescent team sport athletes. Therefore, this systematic review and metaanalysis intends to investigate the effects of ST and PT on vertical jump (VJ), linear sprint (LS), and CODS in female adolescent team sport athletes and compare the independent effects between the two training programs. Furthermore, it aims to explore the moderator variables (programming variables and participant characteristics) with potential impacts on the training effects. This review uniquely focuses on female adolescent team sport athletes, offering novel insights for evidence-based training. The findings are expected to offer practical guidelines on the two trainings for coaches and athletes.

# Methods

#### **Study registration**

This study was conducted following the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) Statement (Page et al., 2021), and was prospectively registered with PROSPERO (CRD42024589413).

### Data sources and search strategy

A systematic search was conducted in databases that fully covered sports science literature (PubMed, Web of Science, Cochrane, Embase, and SPORTDiscus) from their inception to August 28, 2024 using medical subject headings (MeSH) and free terms, with no restrictions on region, year or language of publication. The search strategy is detailed in Supplementary Material 1.

# Eligibility criteria

#### **Inclusion criteria**

*Population (P):* healthy female adolescent (10-19 years, as defined by the World Health Organization) athletes of team sports (e.g., football, basketball, volleyball, and handball), with no restrictions on the athletes' competitive performance to make the analysis more comprehensive.

*Intervention (I):* ST or PT for at least four weeks, since previous studies have reported beneficial effects with this duration (Hammett and Hey, 2003; Lloyd et al., 2012).

*Comparison (C):* active controls.

*Outcome (O):* at least one of the following measures of physical fitness pre- and post-training intervention: VJ, LS, or CODS.

*Study design (S):* randomized controlled trials on the intervention effects.

#### **Exclusion criteria**

*Population (P):* female adolescent team sport athletes with health problems (e.g., injury, and recent surgery).

Intervention (1): 1) ST or PT for less than four weeks; 2) interventions that combined ST or PT with other training modalities (e.g., ST + PT, and PT + sprint training) were excluded to isolate the independent effects of each intervention.

*Comparison (C):* lack of active controls.

*Outcome (O):* lack of baseline and/or follow-up data, and no reports on VJ, LS, or CODS.

*Study design (S):* 1) Non-randomized controlled trials; 2) crossover trials; 3) acute studies < 4 weeks.

# Study selection and data extraction

The studies retrieved were imported into Endnote 21.0, where duplicate records were automatically removed and manually screened for any remaining duplicates. We screened the titles and abstracts initially for potentially eligible studies, and then downloaded and read the full text to finally identify the eligible studies. A standardized data extraction form was developed to extract the following data: title, first author, year of publication, DOI/PMID, author's country, type of sports, type of interventions, presence or absence of experience in PT/ST, training programs (duration, frequency, total sessions, mean session duration, intensity, number of sets, repetitions, and rest between sets), number of cases, total participants, age, height, weight, percentage of body fat, body mass index, test protocols and outcomes. In addition, means and standard deviations of variables of physical fitness pre- and post-training (VJ, LS, and CODS) were extracted. To prevent overstatement of effects, the results of the test with the longest duration were included for the analysis in the case of multiple CODS tests in one study (Garcia-Ramos et al., 2018). LS speed was measured over a distance of 15-40 meters (Rumpf et al., 2011), and the results of the test with the longest distance (e.g., 40 meters) were included in the case of multiple distances/splits. In the case of multiple VJ tests in one study, the results of the countermovement jump test were preferably extracted as they were more representative of jump performance in actual sports (Markovic and Jaric, 2007; McMahon et al., 2017). However, if a study only reported squat jump or drop jump instead of countermovement jump, the available data were included in the analysis to ensure comprehensive coverage of jump performance metrics. In cases where the required data were not fully or properly reported, the study authors were contacted for clarification. The study outcomes were not subjected to additional analysis if the authors failed to respond after two attempts or were unable to provide the necessary data. When data were presented as figures, validated software (r = 0.99, p < 0.001) (Drevon et al., 2017) (WebPlotDigitizer; https://apps.automeris.io/wpd/) was used to extract the numerical data. Two reviewers (HL, XZ) independently performed study selection and data extraction using a standardized and piloted data extraction form. The results were cross-checked, and any discrepancies, particularly those concerning outcome metrics, were resolved through discussion and consensus. If disagreement persisted, a third reviewer (CX) was consulted.

#### Risk of bias and certainty of evidence

The risk of bias was assessed at the study level using the latest version of the Cochrane risk-of-bias tool for randomized trials (ROB-2) (Flemyng et al., 2023) from five domains: randomization process, deviations from intended interventions, missing outcome data, measurement of the outcome, and selection of the reported result. Each item was rated as low risk, high risk, or some concerns. The quality of each included study was independently assessed by two reviewers (HL, XZ), and discrepancies were resolved by consultation with a third reviewer (CX).

# The Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) approach was applied to assess the certainty of the evidence for each outcome (Schünemann et al., 2013). This method evaluates five domains, namely risk of bias, inconsistency, indirectness, imprecision, and publication bias, to determine the certainty of the evidence. The certainty is classified into four levels: high, moderate, low, or very low. Downgrading was considered when there were serious concerns in one or more domains. Upgrading was applied when there was a large magnitude of effect, evidence of a dose–response relationship, or when all plausible confounding would reduce a demonstrated effect. The evaluation was independently conducted by two reviewers (HL, XZ) and cross-checked by a third reviewer (CX).

#### **Statistical analysis**

Effect sizes (ES) were calculated by comparing the differences in performance variables between the training group and the control group pre- and post-intervention. Post-intervention standard deviation values were used to standardize the data. The random-effects model was employed to account for differences between studies that might impact training effects (Deeks et al., 2019; Kontopantelis et al., 2013). Represented by Hedge's g, ES values were presented with standardized mean differences with their 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs) to adjust for a small sample size. The following scale was used to interpret the ES magnitudes: trivial (< 0.2), small (0.2 - 0.6), moderate (> 0.6-1.2), large (> 1.2 - 2.0), very large (> 2.0 - 4.0), and extremely large (> 4.0) (Hopkins et al., 2009). The sample size in the active control group was proportionately split to facilitate inter-group comparisons in studies with more than one intervention group (Higgins et al., 2008). The  $I^2$ statistic was adopted to evaluate the study heterogeneity, with low, moderate, and high levels represented by values of < 25%, 25% - 75%, and > 75%, respectively (Higgins and Thompson, 2002). By excluding low-quality studies, sensitivity analyses were conducted to verify the result reliability. The publication bias was assessed (with at least 10 studies) by funnel plots and Egger's tests (Egger et al., 1997); the trim and fill method was utilized if the publication bias was present (Duval and Tweedie, 2000).

In addition, subgroup analyses were conducted besides the main analysis to explore potential influencing factors on the intervention effects. Different participant characteristics and variables of training programs were selected and could be considered as having a potential influence on the intervention effects. Since age and biological maturity affect explosiveness in female adolescents (Emmonds et al., 2017), age, height, and weight were selected as moderator variables. Females typically reach their full adult height around the age of 15 (Georgopoulos et al., 2001). Therefore, participants were categorized into < 15- and >15-year-old groups, allowing for a comparison between those still undergoing maturational changes and those who had largely completed them. They were also grouped by height in the same way (> 163 and < 163 cm), as 163 cm is an approximation of the mean height of females at full maturity (Pellett, 1990). The weight of athletes varied across the type of team sports, so participants were grouped by weight using a median-split technique. The three factors were possibly important determinants of training adaptations in female adolescents. In addition, participants were categorized according to the type of sports to investigate the adaptations of athletes of different sports to the training program. Training duration (weeks), frequency (sessions/week), and total sessions were selected as the moderator variables based on the principle of frequency, intensity, time, and type (FITT) (Pescatello et al., 2015). Where appropriate, these moderator variables were divided using the median-split technique (Moran et al., 2019; Moran et al., 2018). If a given moderator variable was described in at least three studies, the median was calculated. Instead of using the global median for a given moderator (e.g., the median of training duration from all included studies), median values were calculated using only those studies that provided data for the outcome under analysis to minimize heterogeneity. The same random-effects model as in the main analysis was applied in subgroup analyses. Stata15.0 was adopted, and statistical significance was set to p < p0.05.

# Results

#### **Study selection**

The number of studies included and excluded at each stage of the screening is shown in Figure 1. 7,925 studies were initially identified, of which 3,625 duplicate publications (from different databases) were removed. After a review of titles and abstracts, the full text of 41 studies was downloaded and read. After 15 studies were excluded (one due to male participants; three due to inappropriate age of participants; six due to inappropriate intervention; one due to inappropriate study design; one due to male and female data grouped; one due to insufficient data; two due to no useful data [no usable outcome metrics], 26 eligible studies were finally included into this meta-analysis (Arazi et al., 2018; Attene et al., 2015; Gaamouri et al., 2023a; Gaamouri et al., 2024; Gaamouri et al., 2023b; Genc H, 2019; Haghighi et al., 2024; Hammami et al., 2019; 2020; 2022a; 2022b; Hammami and Zmijewski, 2024; I, 2020; Idriss et al., 2022; Idrizovic et al., 2018; Martel et al., 2005; Meszler and Váczi, 2019; Ortega et al., 2020; Ozbar et al., 2014; PA, 2015; Paes et al., 2022; Pedersen et al., 2019; Rojano Ortega et al., 2022; Rubley et al., 2011; Turgut et al., 2016; Váczi et al., 2022).

#### **Study characteristics**

All included studies involved 705 female adolescent team sport athletes, including 302 handball players, 156 volleyball players, 136 football players, and 111 basketball players. Of these, 197 attended ST, and 183 attended PT, with the remaining 325 as active controls. The training duration ranged from 5 to 20 weeks, mostly (96%)  $\leq$  12 weeks. The training frequency was 1-3 sessions/week, mostly (65%) 2 sessions/week. Total sessions ranged from 8 to 36 sessions, mostly (54%)  $\geq$  20 sessions. The participant characteristics and details of the training programs in the included studies are described in Table 1.



Figure 1. Flowchart of screening process.

				]	Programmi	ng variable	es						Particip	ant character	istics		
Study	Country	Dur. (weeks)	Freq. (per week)	Total Sessions (n)	Mean session duration (min)	Intensity	No. of Sets	Rep. (n)	Rest between sets (s/min)	N	No. of cases	Age (years)	Height (cm)	Weight (kg)	BMI (kg/m²)	Types of sports	Exercise test and outcomes measured
									Plyomet	ric tr	aining						
Rubley et al. (2011)	USA	12	1	12	NR	L	1-4	5-20	NR	16	1.PT: 10 2.CG: 6	13.4 (±.5)	162.5 (±5.67)	50.84 (±5.1)	NR	Soccer	VJ (height, cm)
Ozbar et al. (2014)	Turkey	8	1	8	60 min	L to H	4-5	5-15	3-5min	18	1.PT: 9 2.CG: 9	1.PT: 18.3 (±2.6) 2.CG: 18.0 (±2.0)	1.PT: 163.1 (± 5.3) 2.CG: 159.4 (±5.1)	1.PT: 58.8 (±7.8) 2.CG: 54.4 (±6.1)	BMI: 1.PT: 22.1 (±2.7) 2.CG: 21.4 (±2.8)	Soccer	CMJ (height, cm); 20 m sprint (time, s)
Idriss et al. (2022)	Algeria	10	3	30	15±3 min	M to H	NR	NR	NR	22	1.PT: 11 2.CG: 11	1.PT: 15.16 (± 0.93) 2.CG: 15.08 (±0.19)	1.PT: 153.0 (±6.47) 2.CG: 151.5 (±5.57)	1.PT: 50.33 (±6.11) 2.CG: 49.66 (±6.02)	NR	Football	SJ, CMJ (height, cm)
Meszler & Váczi (2019)	Hungary	7	2	14	20 min	Н	2-6	4-10	2 min	18	1.PT: 9 2.CG: 9	1.PT: 15.8 (±1.2) 2.CG: 15.7 (±1.3)	1.PT: 176.4 (± 8.6) 2.CG: 177.5 (±7.4)	1.PT: 63.5 (±8.6) 2.CG: 66.1 (±8.9)	NR	Basketball	T-sprint test, Illinois agility test (time, s); CMJ
Cengizhan (2015)	Turkey	6	3	18	120 min	NR	3	NR	NR	20	1.PT: 10 2.CG: 10	1.PT: 14.8 (±1.14) 2.CG: 14.9 (±0.99)	1.PT: 161.2 (±5.07) 2.CG: 164.6 (±7.77)	1.PT: 54.3 (±8.64) 2.CG: 59.7 (± 15.83)	1.PT: 20.86 (±2.88) 2.CG: 21.79 (±4.32)	Basketball	Illinois, hexagon and, T test agility test(time, s); 20 m, 30 m sprint(time, s)
Attene et al. (2015)	Italy	6	2	12	at least 20 minutes	NR	2-3	6-10	1 min	36	1.PT: 18 2.CG: 18	1.PT: 14.83 (±.92) 2.CG: 15.20(±.92)	1.PT: 163.0 (± 8.62) 2.CG: 165.0 (±5.70)	1.PT: 51.89 (±9.69) 2.CG: 57.50 (±5.70)	1.PT: 19.35 (±2.16) 2.CG: 21.12 (±2.83)	Basketball	CMJ and SJ(height, cm)
Paes et al. (2022)	Brazil	6	2	12	30-60 min	NR	1-6	5-15	30 s	21	1.PT: 11 2.CG: 10	1.PT: 14.45 (±.69) 2.CG: 15.30 (±1.16)	1.PT: 160.0 (±7.0) 2.CG: 163.0 (±8.0)	1.PT: 53.72 (±9.01) 2.CG: 59.98 (±16.74)	NR	Basketball	20 m sprint (time, s); Illinois agility test(time, s)
Haghighi et al. (2024)	Iran	6	2	12	NR	NR	2-4	4-8	NR	16	1.PT: 8 2.CG: 8	1.PT: 14.6 (±1.5) 2.CG: 15.1(±1.8)	1.PT: 168.3 (±8.7 2.CG: 165.8 (±9.7)	1.PT: 61.7 (±10.3) 2.CG: 56.7 (±13.6)	1.PT: 21.9 (±3.9) 2.CG: 20.6 (±5.6)	Basketball	20 m sprint (time, s); change of direction (time, s)

Table 1. Characteristics of studies included in the systematic review.

Data are presented as mean ± SD. ST: Strength training; PT: Plyometric training; CG: Control group; Dur.: durations; Freq.: frequency; Rep.: repetitions; BMI: Body mass index; 1 RM: One repetition maximum; SJ: Squat jump; VJ: Vertical jump; CMJ: Countermovement jump; NR: Non-reported; N: Total population; L: Low; M: Moderate; H: high.

Table 1. Contiue....

1001011-01				I	Programmin	ıg variable	es						Particip	oant characte	ristics		
Study	Country	Dur. (weeks)	Freq. (per week)	Total Sessions (n)	Mean session duration (min)	Intensity	No. of Sets	Rep. (n)	Rest between sets (s/min)	N	No. of cases	Age (years)	Height (cm)	Weight (kg)	BMI (kg/m²) or BF (%)	Types of sports	Exercise test and outcomes measured
									Plyometric	trair	ing						
Martel et al. (2005)	USA	6	2	12	45 min	NR	2-5	NR	30 s	19	1.PT: 10 2.CG: 9	1.PT: 15 (±1) 2.CG: 14 (±1)	1.PT: 167 (± 9) 2.CG: 164 (±8)	1.PT: 64 (±13) 2.CG: 57 (±8)	NR	Volleyball	VJ (height, cm)
Idrizovic et al. (2018)	Montenegro	12	2	24	40-60 min	L to H	4-6	1-5	2-5 min	30	1.PT: 13 2.CG: 17	16.6 (±0.6)	173.3 (±4.2)	59.4 (±8.1)	NR	Volleyball	20 m sprint (time, s); CMJ (height, cm)
Rojano Ortega et al. (2022)	Spain	7	2	14	45 min	L/M	2-3	8-15	1 min	28	1.PT: 14 2.CG: 14	1.PT: 16.07 (±1.07) 2.CG: 15.71 (±.73)	1.PT: 166.8 (±1.89) 2.CG: 165.6 (±4.86)	1.PT: 67.82 (±5.53) 2.CG: 61.30 (±7.23)	NR	Volleyball	CMJ (height, cm)
Turgut et al. (2016)	Turkey	12	3	36	NR	NR	1-3	30 s- 60 s	30 s-60 s	16	1.PT: 8 2.CG: 8	1.PT: 15.0 (±1.0) 2.CG: 14.4 (±1.3)	1.PT: 166 (±6) 2.CG: 161 (±5)	1.PT: 59.4 (±8.3) 2.CG: 50 (±7.8)	BMI: 1.PT: 21.4 (±1.9) 2.CG: 19.1 (±2.0)	Volleyball	30 m sprint (time, s); hexagonal obstacle test and zigzag test (time, s)
Hammami et al. (2020)	Tunisia	10	2	20	NR	NR	2-3	6	30 s	34	1.PT: 17 2.CG: 17	1.PT: 15.8 (±.2) 2.CG: 15.8 (±.2)	1.PT: 166 (±3) 2.CG: 167 (±4)	1.PT: 64.2 (±3.3) 2.CG: 63.0 (±3.8)	BF: 1.PT: 25.2 (±3.8) 2.CG: 26.0 (±3.3)	Handball	5 m, 10m, 20 m, 30 m sprint(time, s); modified Illinois test(time, s); SJ, CMJ, CMJ with arms(height, cm)
Gaamouri et al. (2023a)	Tunisia	10	2	20	NR	NR	2-3	6	30 s	28	1.PT: 14 2.CG: 14	1.PT: 15.7 (±.2) 2.CG: 15.8 (±.2)	1.PT: 165 (±3) 2.CG: 167 (±3)	1.PT: 63.8 (±3.3) 2.CG: 63.3 (±4.1)	BF: 1.PT: 25.4 (±4.1) 2.CG: 24.6 (±1.8)	Handball	Modified agility T-test(time, s); SJ, CMJ (height, cm)
Hammami et al. (2019)	Tunisia	9	2	18	NR	NR	10	6-8	0 s	41	1.PT: 21 2.CG: 20	1.PT: 13.5 (±.3) 2.CG: 13.3 (±.3)	1.PT: 142 (4) 2.CG: 143 (±4)	1.PT: 42.6 (±4.6) 2.CG: 42.3 (±4.5)	BF: 1.PT: 12.3 (±3.4) 2.CG: 14.7 (±3.9)	Handball	5 m, 10 m, 20 m, 30 m sprint(time, s); modified Illinois test, T test(time, s); SJ, CMJ, CMJ with arms (height, cm)

Data are presented as mean ± SD. ST: Strength training; PT: Plyometric training; CG: Control group; Dur.: durations; Freq.: frequency; Rep.: repetitions; BF: Body fat; BMI: Body mass index; 1 RM: One repetition maximum; SJ: Squat jump; VJ: Vertical jump; CMJ: Countermovement jump; NR: Non-reported; N: Total population; L: Low; M: Moderate; H: high

Гяł	ole	1.	Contine
	<b>, , ,</b>		Continue

	Iuc			F	Programmi	ng variable	25						Particina	nt characteri	istics		
Study	Country	Dur. (weeks)	Freq. (per week)	Total Sessions (n)	Mean session duration (min)	Intensity	No. of Sets	Rep. (n)	Rest between sets (s/min)	N	No. of cases	Age (years)	Height (cm)	Weight (kg)	BMI (kg/m²) or BF (%)	- Types of sports	Exercise test and outcomes measured
								S	Strength tr	aining	5						
Váczi et al. (2022)	Hungary	20	1-2	30	NR	NR	2-3	5-15	NR	23	1.ST: 13 2.CG: 10	1.ST: 11.3 (±.5) 2.CG: 10.9 (±.5)	1.ST: 150 (±6.6) 2.CG: 146.4 (±3.4)	1.ST: 41.5 (±7.0) 2.CG: 41.1 (±5.6)	NR	Handball	CMJ (height, cm)
Hammami & Zmijewski (2024)	Tunisia	10	2	20	45 min	NR	3-5	12	NR	36	1.ST(1): 12 2.ST(2): 12 3.CG: 12	$\begin{array}{c} 1.ST(1):\\ 16.2 (\pm.3)\\ 2.ST(2):\\ 16.2 (\pm.4)\\ 3.CG:\\ 16.3 (\pm.3) \end{array}$	1.ST(1): 167.1 (± 3.7) 2.ST(2): 165.5 (±3.2) 3.CG: 167.6 (±3.6)	1.ST(1): 64.3 (±4.0) 2.ST(2): 63.8 (±3.3) 3.CG: 63.5 (±4.4)	BF: 1.ST(1): 23.3 (±1.0) 2.ST(2): 23.0 (±1.1) 3.CG: 23.9 (±1.4)	Handball )	10 m, 20 m sprint(time, s); modified Illinois test (time, s); SJ, CMJ (height, cm)
Hammami et al. (2022a)	Tunisia	10	2	20	NR	NR	3-5	10	30 s	26	1.ST: 13 2.CG: 13	1.ST: 15.7 (±.2) 2.CG: 15.8 (±.2)	1.ST: 170 (±4) 2.CG: 167 (±4)	1.ST: 64 (±3) 2.CG: 64 (±4)	BF: 1.ST: 25.3 (±1.7) 2.CG: 26.6 (±3.4)	Handball	5 m, 10 m, 20 m, 30 m sprint (time, s); modified Illinois test (time, s); SJ, CMJ, CMJ with arms (height, cm).
Genc et al. (2019)	Turkey	8	3	24	60 min	NR	2	10-35	3 min	20	1.ST: 10 2.CG: 10	1.ST: 17.80 (±1.4) 2.CG: 17.60 (±1.89)	1.ST: 153.2 (±5.8) 2.CG: 166.6 (±5.8)	1.ST: 60.03 (±7.9) 2.CG: 63.30 (±6.3)	BMI: 1.ST: 22.07 (±1.91) 2.CG: 22.21 (±2.07)	Handball	Pro agility test, 505 agility test (time, s); VJ (height, cm); 10 m, 30 m sprint (time, s).
Gaamouri et al. (2024)	Tunisia	10	2	20	45 min	NR	3-5	12	NR	30	1.ST: 16 2.CG: 14	1.ST: 15.80 (±.30) 2.CG: 15.80 (±.20)	1.ST: 167 (±3.4) 2.CG: 167 (±.3.2)	1.ST: 64.10 (±3.50) 2.CG: 63.40 (±4.10)	BF: 1.ST: 22.00 (±2.70) 2.CG: 22.70 (±1.90)	Handball	T test(time, s); SJ, CMJ (height, cm).
Gaamouri et al. (2023b)	Tunisia	10	2	20	NR	NR	3-5	10	30 s	34	1.ST: 17 2.CG: 17	1.ST: 15.7 (±.2) 2.CG: 15.8 (±.2)	1.ST: 1.69 (±4.2) 2.CG: 1.67 (±3.5)	1.ST: 63.4 (±3.8) 2.CG: 63.0 (±3.8)	BF: 1.ST: 21.5 (±1.8) 2.CG: 21.7 (±2.5)	Handball	T test(time, s); SJ, CMJ(height, cm).

Data are presented as mean ± SD. ST: Strength training; PT: Plyometric training; CG: Control group; Dur.: durations; Freq.: frequency; Rep.: repetitions; BF: Body fat; BMI: Body mass index; 1 RM: One repetition maximum; SJ: Squat jump; VJ: Vertical jump; CMJ: Countermovement jump; NR: Non-reported; N: Total population; L: Low; M: Moderate; H: high

		_		F	Programmi	ng variable	S						Particip	ant characteri	stics		
Study	Country	Dur. (weeks)	Freq. (per week)	Total Sessions (n)	Mean session duration (min)	Intensity	No. of Sets	Rep. (n)	Rest between sets (s/min)	Ν	No. of cases	Age (years)	Height (cm)	Weight (kg)	BMI (kg/m²) or BF (%)	Types of sports	Exercise test and outcomes measured
									Strengt	n traiı	ning						
Hammami et al. (2022b)	Tunisia	10	2	20	NR	NR	3-5	12	NR	30	1.ST: 15 2.CG: 15	1.ST: 15.7 (±.3) 2.CG: 15.7 (±.2)	1.ST: 166.5 (±3.5) 2.CG: 167 (±4.1)	1.ST: 64.1 (±3.6) 2.CG: 63.3 (±3.9)	BF: 1.ST: 27.3 (±3.6) 2.CG: 26.5 (±3.3)	Handball	5 m, 10 m, 20 m, 30 m sprint (time, s); modified Illinois test (time, s); SJ, CMJ, CMJ with arms (height, cm)
Ortega et al. (2020)	Colombia	12	3	26	NR	NR	4	10	3 min	46	1.ST(1): 15 2.ST(2): 13 3.CG: 18	13.6 (±1.2)	157 (±6.6)	46.7 (±5.3)	BMI: 17.6 (±1.4)	Soccer	30 m sprint (time, s); SJ, CMJ (height, cm)
Ince, (2020)	Turkey	6	2	12	NR	70%- 90% of 1 RM	2-7	5	2 min	33	1.ST(1): 11 2.ST(2): 11 3.CG: 11	1.ST(1): 15.80 (±1.03) 2.ST(2): 15.22 (±1.20) 3.CG: 15.14 (±0.38)	1.ST(1): 164.50 (±3.96) 2.ST(2): 167.78 (±3.53) 3.CG: 165.29 (±5.25)	$\begin{array}{c} 1.ST(1):\\ 60.88\ (\pm\ 8.26)\\ 2.ST(2):\\ 62.02\ (\pm7.20)\\ 3.CG:\\ 61.32\ (\pm5.89) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{BMI:} \\ 1.\text{ST}(1): 22.48 \\ (\pm 3.35) \\ 2.\text{ST}(2): 23.52 \\ (\pm 2.41) \\ 3.\text{CG: } 20.79 \\ (\pm 1.63) \end{array}$	Volleyball	5 m, 20 m sprint (time, s); T test (time, s); SJ, CMJ (height, cm)
Arazi et al. (2018)	Iran	8	3	24	NR	30%- 90% of 1 RM	1-3	1-10	20 s-30 s	30	1.ST(1): 10 2.ST(2): 10 3.CG: 10	1.ST(1): 18.2 (±2.4) 2.ST(2): 18.7 (±1.5) 3.CG: 19.1 (±2.7)	$\begin{array}{c} 1.ST(1):\\ 161\ (\pm\ 6)\\ 2.ST(2):\\ 166\ (\pm\ 5)\\ 3.CG:\\ 163\ (\pm\ 5) \end{array}$	1.ST(1): 54.5 (±6.6) 2.ST(2): 56.5 (±9.0) 3.CG: 54.0 (±7.5)	NR	Volleyball	VJ (height, cm); 20 m sprint (time, s); change of direction (time, s)
Pedersen et al. (2019)	Norway	5	2	10	NR	85% of 1 RM	3-4	4-6	≥ 180 s	34	1.ST: 19 2.CG: 15	1.ST: 18.3(SD: 2.7) 2.CG: 18.3(SD: 2.4)	1.ST: 167 (±6) 2.CG: 168 (±5)	1.ST: 61.67(SD: 5.40) 2.CG: 62.92(SD: 10.48)	BMI: 1.ST: 22.1 (±2) 2.CG: 22.3 (±3)	Football	5 m, 10 m, 15 m sprint (time, s); CMJ (height, cm)

Data are presented as mean ± SD. ST: Strength training; PT: Plyometric training; CG: Control group; Dur.: durations; Freq.: frequency; Rep.: repetitions; BF: Body fat; BMI: Body mass index; 1 RM: One repetition maximum; SJ: Squat jump; VJ: Vertical jump; CMJ: Countermovement jump; NR: Non-reported; N: Total population; L: Low; M: Moderate; H: high.



Figure 2. Risk of bias graph.

## Risk of bias and certainty of evidence

The risk of bias in the included studies was assessed at the study level using the Cochrane risk-of-bias tool for randomized trials (ROB-2). Five studies (Attene et al., 2015; Haghighi et al., 2024; I, 2020; Pedersen et al., 2019; Turgut et al., 2016) were rated as low risk in the randomization process domain, while the remaining 21 studies raised some concerns mainly due to insufficient detail on randomization. Regarding deviations from intended interventions, two studies (Gaamouri et al., 2023b; Hammami et al., 2019) were rated as low risk, with the rest having some concerns in this domain. For the other domains, including missing outcome data, measurement of the outcome, and selection of the reported result, all studies were rated as low risk. Detailed results for domain-specific and overall riskof-bias assessments are presented in Figure 2 and Figure 3.

Study ID	D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	Overall		
M. D. Rubley 2011	1	•	+	+	+		+	Low risk
N. Ozbar 2014	•	•	+	+	+		1	Some concerns
M. M. Idriss 2022		•	+	+	+		•	High risk
B. Meszler 2019	•	•	+	+	+	!		
P. A. Cengizhan 2015	•	•	+	+	•		D1	Randomisation process
G. Attene 2015	+	•	+	+	+		D2	Deviations from the intended interventions
P. P. Paes 2022		•	+	+	•	!	D3	Missing outcome data
A. H. Haghighi 2024	+	•	+	+	•	!	D4	Measurement of the outcome
G. F. Martel 2005		•	+	+	+		D5	Selection of the reported result
K. Idrizovic 2018	•	•	+	+	•	!		
D. Rojano Ortega 2022	•	•	+	+	•			
E. Turgut 2016	+	•	+	+	•			
M. Hammami 2020	1	1	+	+	•			
N. Gaamouri 2023(a)	!	+	+	+	•	!		
M. Hammami 2019	!	+	+	+	•	!		
M. Váczi 2022	!	!	+	+	•	!		
M. Hammami 2024	!	!	+	+	•	!		
M. Hammami 2022(a)	!	!	+	+	•	!		
H. Genc 2019	!	!	+	+	•	!		
N. Gaamouri 2024	!	!	+	+	•	!		
N. Gaamouri 2023(b)	!	!	+	+	•	!		
M. Hammami 2022(b)	!	!	+	+	•	!		
J. A. F. Ortega 2020	!	!	+	+	•	!		
I. Ince 2020	+	!	+	+	•	!		
H. Arazi 2018	!	!	+	+	•	!		
S. Pedersen 2019	+	!	+	+	•	!		

Figure 3. Risk of bias summary.



Figure 4. Forest plot of the effects of strength and plyometric training on vertical jump in female adolescent team sport athletes.

According to the GRADE assessment, the certainty of evidence was rated as high for most outcomes, including the effects of PT on vertical jump, and both ST and PT on linear sprint and change-of-direction performance. For ST on vertical jump, the certainty was moderate due to inconsistency and imprecision. Large effect sizes and dose-response trends supported upgrading, while heterogeneity and limited sample size led to some downgrading.

### Main effect

# Vertical jump

Twenty-two studies evaluated the effects of ST (11 studies) and PT (11 studies) on VJ in female adolescent team sport athletes. The between-group heterogeneity test showed no significant difference between ST and PT in the effect on VJ (p = 0.679). Significant and moderate positive effects were observed for both ST (p = 0.001, ES: 0.74, 95% CI: 0.31, 1.17) and PT (p < 0.001, ES: 0.87, 95% CI: 0.44, 1.29). The study results of ST ( $I^2 = 75\%$ , p < 0.000) and PT  $(I^2 = 64.6\%, p = 0.002)$  had significant heterogeneity within groups (Figure 4). The pooled results of ST and PT were robust as verified by sensitivity analyses (Figure S1 and S2, Supplementary Materials 2). Egger's tests revealed no potential publication bias in the main pooled ES for both ST (p = 0.06) and PT (p = 0.435), as shown in the funnel plot (p > 0.05) (Figure S3 and S4, Supplementary Materials 2).

#### Linear sprint speed

Sixteen studies evaluated the effects of ST (8 studies) and PT (8 studies) on LS speed in female adolescent team sport athletes. The between-group heterogeneity test showed no significant difference between ST and PT in the effect on

LS speed (p = 0.955). Significant and large positive effects were observed for both ST (p = 0.002, ES: -1.26, 95% CI: -2.07, -0.45) and PT (p = 0.008, ES: -1.23, 95% CI: -2.13, -0.32). The study results of ST (I<sup>2</sup>= 89.3%, p < 0.000) and PT (I<sup>2</sup> = 86.6%, p < 0.000) had significant heterogeneity within groups (Figure 5). The pooled results of ST and PT were robust as verified by sensitivity analyses (Figure S5 and S6, Supplementary Materials 2). Publication bias was not assessed since fewer than 10 studies were included for each intervention.

#### **Change-of-direction speed**

The effects of ST (8 studies) and PT (8 studies) on the CODS in female adolescent team sport athletes were evaluated in sixteen studies. The between-group heterogeneity test showed no significant difference between ST and PT in the effect on CODS (p = 0.933). Significant and moderate positive effects were observed for both ST (p < 0.001, ES: -1.16, 95% CI: -1.77, -0.55) and PT (p = 0.007, ES: -1.20, 95% CI: -2.08, -0.32). The study results of ST ( $I^2 = 80.2\%$ , p < 0.000) and PT ( $I^2 = 8.6\%$ , p < 0.000) had significant heterogeneity within groups (Figure 6). The pooled results of ST and PT were robust as verified by sensitivity analyses (Figure S7 and S8, Supplementary Materials 2). Publication bias was not assessed since fewer than 10 studies were included for each intervention.

#### Subgroup analyses

Subgroup analyses were conducted on ST and PT based on the variables of training programs (training duration, frequency, and total sessions) and participant characteristics (age, height, weight, and type of sports). The results are detailed in Supplementary Material 3.



Figure 5. Forest plot of the effects of strength and plyometric training on linear sprint speed in female adolescent team sport athletes.



Figure 6. Forest plot of the effects of strength and plyometric training on change-of-direction speed in female adolescent team sport athletes.

# Strength training

For VJ, great between-group heterogeneity was present in training duration, frequency, total sessions, weight, and type of sports, with statistical significance (p < 0.05). Specifically, ST with a longer duration ( $\geq 10$  weeks, ES: 1.20), lower frequency ( $\leq 2$  sessions/week, ES: 1.02), or fewer total sessions ( $\leq 20$  sessions, ES: 1.02) was significantly more effective in improving VJ than that with a shorter duration (< 10 weeks, ES: 0.03), higher frequency (> 2 sessions, ES: 0.19), or more total sessions ( $\geq 20$  sessions, ES: 0.19), or more total sessions ( $\geq 20$  sessions, ES: 0.19). Following ST, heavier participants ( $\geq 62$  kg, ES: 1.30) had significantly better improvement in VJ than lighter ones (< 62 kg, ES: 0.23). VJ in handball players (ES: 1.38) benefitted much more from ST than in volleyball (ES: 0.07) and football players (ES: 0.00).

For LS speed, great between-group heterogeneity was present in training duration, frequency, total sessions, age, height, weight, and type of sports, with statistical significance (p < 0.05). Specifically, ST with a longer duration ( $\geq 10$  weeks, ES: -2.31), lower frequency ( $\leq 2$  sessions/week, ES: -2.29), or fewer total sessions ( $\leq 20$  sessions, ES: -2.29) was significantly more effective in improving LS speed than that with a shorter duration (< 10) weeks, ES: -0.34), higher frequency (> 2 sessions/week, ES: 0.03), or more total sessions (> 20 sessions, ES: 0.03). Following ST, older (> 15 years, ES: -1.57), taller (> 163 cm, ES: -1.99), and heavier ( $\geq 62$  kg, ES: -2.87) participants had significantly better improvement in LS speed than younger (< 15 years, ES: 0.13), shorter (< 163 cm, ES: 0.05), and lighter participants (< 62 kg, ES: -0.25). LS speed in handball players (ES: -2.86) benefitted much more from ST than in volleyball (ES: -0.52) and football players (ES: 0.06).

For CODS, great between-group heterogeneity was present in training duration, frequency, total sessions, height, weight, and type of sports, with statistical significance (p < 0.05). Specifically, ST with a longer duration ( $\geq$  10 weeks, ES: -1.98), lower frequency ( $\leq$  2 sessions/week, ES: -1.58), or fewer total sessions ( $\leq 20$  sessions, ES: -1.58) was significantly more effective in improving CODS than that with a shorter duration (< 10weeks, ES: -0.17), higher frequency (> 2 sessions/week, ES: -0.05), or more total sessions (> 20 sessions, ES: -0.05). Following ST, taller ( $\geq 163$  cm, ES: -1.41) and heavier ( $\geq 63$  kg, ES: -1.98) participants had significantly better improvement in CODS than shorter (< 163 cm, ES: -0.05) and lighter participants (< 63 kg, ES: -0.17). CODS in handball players (ES: -1.73) benefitted much more from ST than in volleyball players (ES: -0.19).

#### **Plyometric training**

For VJ, there was great between-group heterogeneity in training duration, total sessions, and type of sports, displaying statistical significance (p < 0.05). Specifically, PT with a longer duration (> 9 weeks, ES: 1.36) or more total sessions (> 14 sessions, ES: 1.30) greatly outperformed that with a shorter duration ( $\leq 9$  weeks, ES: 0.51) or fewer total sessions ( $\leq 14$  sessions, ES: 0.46) in improving VJ. VJ in handball players (ES: 1.74) benefitted much more from PT than in football (ES: 0.90), volleyball (ES: 0.47), and basketball players (ES: 0.14).

For LS speed, there was great between-group heterogeneity in training duration and type of sports, displaying statistical significance (p < 0.05). Specifically, PT with a longer duration ( $\geq 9$  weeks, ES: -2.20) greatly outperformed that with a shorter duration ( $\leq 9$  weeks, ES: -0.28) in improving LS speed. LS speed in handball players (ES: -3.39) benefitted much more from PT than in football (ES: -1.02), volleyball (ES: -0.98), and basketball players (ES: -0.06).

For CODS, there was great between-group heterogeneity in training duration, total sessions, and type of sports, displaying statistical significance (p < 0.05). Specifically, PT with a longer duration ( $\geq 9$  weeks, ES: -2.32) or more total sessions ( $\geq 18$  sessions, ES: -1.88) greatly outperformed that with a shorter duration ( $\leq 9$  weeks, ES: -0.10) or fewer total sessions ( $\leq 18$  sessions, ES: -0.10) in improving CODS. CODS in handball players (ES: -2.57) benefitted much more from PT than in volleyball (ES: -1.57), and basketball players (ES: -0.10).

#### Discussion

This meta-analysis intends to examine the effects of ST and PT on the physical fitness in female adolescent team sport athletes compared with controls. This meta-analysis included 26 studies. In total, 705 female adolescent team sport athletes were randomly assigned to ST (197 athletes), PT (183 athletes), or an active control group (325 athletes). It was found that ST and PT exhibited significant and moderate to large effects on VJ, LS speed, and CODS (p < 0.05).

# Vertical jump

Compared with controls, significant moderate improvement was made in VJ in female adolescent team sport athletes after ST (p = 0.001, ES: 0.74). One possible explanation is that ST may enhance the ability of muscles to quickly generate force by increasing maximal muscle strength and explosiveness (Suchomel et al., 2016). In particular, ST involving the lower extremities (e.g., squats, Nordic hamstring exercise) can enhance lower limb muscle strength, which plays a crucial role in VJ performance (Suchomel et al., 2016). The efficacy of ST in enhancing muscle strength in female adolescents was also verified in a previous meta-analysis (Moran et al., 2018), which focused on a broader population of healthy adolescent females. In contrast, our study specifically targeted female adolescent team sport athletes, thereby offering sport-specific insights into the effects of ST. In addition, subgroup analyses revealed that ST with a longer duration ( $\geq 10$ weeks), lower frequency ( $\leq 2$  sessions/week), or fewer total sessions ( $\leq 20$  sessions) was more effective in improving VJ compared to ST with a shorter duration, higher frequency, or more total sessions. However, these variables were analyzed independently, and their combined effects were not examined, meaning that potential synergy among them remains uncertain. With a longer duration or lower frequency, the body may have more adequate recovery time, thereby promoting effective gains in neuromuscular adaptations and muscle strength. Adequate recovery facilitates the resolution of exercise-induced muscle damage,

replenishment of energy stores, and optimal supercompensation, which in turn enhance motor unit recruitment, neuromuscular coordination, and overall muscle strength (Şahin et al., 2022). Following ST, heavier athletes exhibited more pronounced improvements in VJ, which may be partially attributed to their greater likelihood of having progressed further in the maturation process, leading to increased muscle mass. Greater muscle mass may enhance force production, improve the rate of force development, and contribute to superior neuromuscular efficiency. This suggests that maturity thresholds may modulate the response to ST (Barker et al., 2014). These findings are consistent with the results of a previous meta-analysis on ST in female adolescents (Moran et al., 2018). In addition, the improvement in VJ was greater in handball players than in volleyball and football players after ST, likely because handball players frequently perform jumps followed by rapid deceleration and re-acceleration, making ST more effective in enhancing lower-limb power and explosiveness.

In addition, significant moderate improvement was also made in VJ in female adolescent team sport athletes after PT (p < 0.001, ES: 0.87) compared with controls, consistent with a previous meta-analysis on PT in female adolescents that PT greatly improves VJ performance in female adolescents (Moran et al., 2019). Such improvements can be attributed to various adaptive mechanisms such as enhanced motor unit recruitment, improved intermuscular coordination, increased neural drive to agonist muscles, and increased efficiency of SSC (Markovic and Mikulic, 2010; Taube et al., 2012). Informally, PT can more effectively increase lower extremity explosiveness by improving the rapid explosive contraction of the muscles, thereby making jump performance better (Markovic and Mikulic, 2010). Besides, subgroup analyses revealed that PT with a longer duration (> 9 weeks) or more total sessions (> 14 sessions) performed better in improving VJ. Similarly, a previous meta-analysis noted that longer duration or more total sessions of PT contribute to the effectiveness of the training cycle among female adolescents (Moran et al., 2019). Slimani et al. also argued that 20 sessions or 10 weeks of training programs are required to maximize the chances of adaptation (Slimani et al., 2016). The effective utilization of muscle elastic potential energy and neuromuscular adaptations may be facilitated by longer training cycles and adequate stimuli (Stojanović et al., 2017). In addition, the improvement in VJ was greater in handball players than in basketball, volleyball, and football players after PT, possibly due to their greater reliance on explosive jumps during gameplay. In contrast, basketball and volleyball players may have already developed high jumping ability, leading to comparatively smaller improvements.

To be specific, PT focuses more on optimizing the efficiency of SSC in lower extremity muscles, whereas ST enhances the jump ability primarily by increasing maximal muscle strength. The dynamic characteristics and explosive exercises of PT are closer to the actual jump movements than ST, which are especially suitable for the development of neuromuscular adaptations in female adolescents. In practice, PT can be prioritized as an effective method to enhance VJ performance in female adolescent team sport athletes, while ST provides a foundational strength base that supports PT adaptations and contributes to overall athletic development.

# Linear sprint speed

LS with SSC movements involves a combination of eccentric, isometric (or amortization), and concentric muscle contractions (Komi, 1986). SSC-based movements tend to contribute to greater concentric force output when elastic energy is quickly and efficiently stored and transferred from the eccentric phase to the concentric phase (Bosco et al., 1982a; Bosco et al., 1982b; Cavagna et al., 1968; Cormie et al., 2010). Elasticity and contraction (e.g., increased muscle activation time, preload effects, muscletendon interactions, stretch reflexes) components affect maximum power output (Avela et al., 2006; Cavagna et al., 1968; Ettema et al., 1990; Lichtwark and Wilson, 2005). Since LS speed is largely influenced by lower extremity power output, a high ratio of muscle strength is one of the main contributors to optimal sprint performance (Aagaard et al., 2002; Cormie et al., 2007; Cronin and Sleivert, 2005). ST contributes to LS speed primarily by increasing lower extremity muscle strength, particularly in the hip, knee, and ankle joints (Suchomel et al., 2016). It enhances sprint performance by increasing maximal force production, improving neuromuscular efficiency, and enabling greater force application during ground contact. Therefore, this study revealed that ST (p = 0.002, ES: -1.26) exhibited a significant and greater benefit compared with controls, consistent with a previous meta-analysis that ST can to a greater extent improve LS speed in female adolescent team sport athletes (Hughes et al., 2023). However, that study did not isolate the effects of ST alone and included both adolescents and adults, whereas our analysis specifically focused on the independent effects of ST in female adolescent team sport athletes. Furthermore, subgroup analyses found that ST with a longer duration ( $\geq 10$  weeks), lower frequency ( $\leq 2$  sessions/week), or fewer total sessions ( $\leq$ 20 sessions) was more effective in improving LS speed compared to that with a shorter duration, higher frequency, or more total sessions. The reason is that long-term ST can more effectively enhance lower extremity muscle strength, thus improving LS speed. Following ST, older (> 15 years), taller ( $\geq$  163 cm), and heavier ( $\geq$  62 kg) participants showed significantly greater improvements in LS speed. This enhancement may be attributed to maturation-related increases in muscle mass, suggesting that maturity thresholds could influence the response to ST (Barker et al., 2014). Additionally, taller participants may benefit from a longer stride length, while increased body mass, when associated with greater lean muscle, can contribute to higher ground reaction forces, further supporting sprint performance. In addition, the improvement in LS speed was also greater in handball players than in volleyball and football players after ST, as handball involves repeated short sprints, making lower-limb strength gains more impactful for acceleration.

PT (p = 0.008, ES: -1.23) also achieved positive effects on improving LS speed in female adolescent team sport athletes, which may be due to an increase in the number of motor units activated and/or firing rates, as well as altered recruitment patterns of motor units (primarily fast

muscle fibers) (Hakkinen, 1985). Accordingly, these adaptations may raise the maximal muscle strength and power capacity, allowing athletes to take longer strides during sprints (Bishop and Girard, 2013; Morin et al., 2012). In addition, neuro-mechanical adaptations induced by lower extremity PT, such as enhanced neural drive to agonist muscles and optimized muscle-tendon stiffness (Markovic and Mikulic, 2010), may enhance the efficiency of SSC. Since the efficiency of SSC in lower extremity musculature is improved, greater force may be generated in the concentric phase following rapid eccentric movements of the muscle (Markovic and Mikulic, 2010; Radnor et al., 2018; Tomalka et al., 2020), which is a key requirement for better sprint performance (Bishop and Girard, 2013). Furthermore, subgroup analyses revealed that a longer duration ( $\geq$ 9 weeks) of PT was more effective than a shorter duration, and analysis suggested that a longer adaptation process is required in PT to greatly improve sprint speed. LS speed in handball players benefited more than football, volleyball, and basketball players. Since PT enhance explosive power and neuromuscular coordination, handball players, who rely heavily on short bursts of speed, may experience greater improvements compared to athletes in sports with less sprinting demand.

This study found that ST and PT had comparable effects on improving linear sprint speed. However, a previous meta-analysis on adolescent athletes suggested that ST may provide a greater advantage in enhancing sprint performance (Behm et al., 2017). ST directly enhances the athletes' sprint performance by increasing the maximal strength and explosiveness of the lower extremity muscles. In contrast, PT relies more on improvements in neuromuscular coordination and reaction time, and it works indirectly despite its effects on improving LS speed. Therefore, ST may be the preferred training program to improve LS speed in female adolescent team sport athletes, especially during sprints.

#### **Change-of-direction speed**

When changing the direction of movements, rapid and systematic coordination of forces and impulses during the braking (eccentric), stabilizing (isometric), and accelerating (concentric) phases is required (Spiteri et al., 2015). Since the eccentric strength is an important determinant of the deceleration capacity during CODS (Chaabene et al., 2018), greater eccentric strength can enhance the athletes' braking efficiency during CODS, and allow them to more quickly complete CODS and transit to the accelerating phase, thereby increasing the use of propulsive forces (Glaister et al., 2008; Spiteri et al., 2013). Additionally, isometric strength is decisive for optimizing triple extension during CODS, which allows the athlete to control the displacement of the body and successfully transfer the force to a new direction, achieving faster CODS (Spiteri et al., 2015). Research suggests that CODS performance can often be ameliorated by increasing the athletes' lower extremity strength or, more specifically, lean muscle mass (Spiteri et al., 2013; Spiteri et al., 2014). ST possesses positive effects on improving eccentric strength, concentric strength, and isometric strength. Therefore, this study revealed that ST made a significant moderate improvement (p < 0.001, ES: -1.16) in CODS in female adolescent team sport athletes. Furthermore, subgroup analyses showed that ST with a longer duration ( $\geq 10$  weeks), lower frequency ( $\leq 2$  sessions/week), or fewer total sessions ( $\leq 20$  sessions) was more effective in improving CODS, and CODS in taller ( $\geq 163$  cm) and heavier ( $\geq 63$  kg) athletes benefitted more from ST. Handball players had significantly better improvement in CODS than volleyball players after ST. This could be because handball requires frequent highspeed directional changes, whereas volleyball players primarily engage in lateral movements and vertical jumps, making ST less influential for agility.

Besides, PT (p = 0.007, ES: -1.20) also achieved positive effects on enhancing CODS in female adolescent team sport athletes, which may result from the interaction of multiple neuromuscular adaptations, including improved neural drive to agonist muscles, neuromuscular patterns capable of rapidly switching between decelerated and accelerated movements (i.e., higher SSC efficiency), and muscle activation strategies that enhance inter- and intramuscular coordination (Häkkukinen et al., 1985; Markovic and Mikulic, 2010). In addition, PT can increase muscle power output and movement efficiency to reduce ground reaction time, thereby positively affecting CODS (Granacher et al., 2015). Moreover, subgroup analyses revealed that PT with a longer duration ( $\geq 9$  weeks) or more total sessions ( $\geq 18$  sessions) was more effective than that with a shorter duration or fewer sessions. The reason is that a longer duration of PT helps enhance the lower extremity explosiveness and quick power transfer, thus improving CODS. Handball players had significantly better improvement in CODS than volleyball and basketball players after PT. This may be due to the frequent multi-directional movements in handball, which align well with the benefits of PT in enhancing rapid force production and movement transitions.

To sum up, the two have different emphases due to their respective mechanisms. ST directly enhances deceleration, stability, and reacceleration capacity primarily by increasing eccentric, isometric, and concentric strength, thereby improving CODS performance. PT relies more on optimizing neuromuscular coordination and SSC efficiency, which focuses on better speed and fluidity of overall movements. Considering the training needs and goals, therefore, it is recommended to combine ST and PT to achieve an overall improvement in CODS. ST can serve as the basis for improving lower extremity strength and explosiveness, while PT can enhance both neuromuscular coordination and quick reaction capability, thus maximizing the training effect.

#### Limitations

Although this meta-analysis fully explored the effects of ST and PT on female adolescent team sport athletes, some limitations are worth noting and need to be taken into account during the interpretation of results. First, due to the limited number of studies on the effects of training programs on physical fitness in female adolescents, this study focused solely on the three most representative indicators of athletic performance without including other potential indicators. To obtain more comprehensive results, more indicators (e.g., balance, reactive strength, and muscle endurance) for the effects of ST and PT on the overall performance of female adolescent team sport athletes should be included in the future. Second, most of the studies were assessed as having some concerns in the randomization process and deviations from intended interventions, possibly due to the difficulty of conducting studies with blinding of participants and/or therapists. As a result, some RoB was present due to inadequate randomization during study design and execution and interventions. Nonetheless, as these issues were consistent across studies and were unlikely to have a substantial impact on the pooled estimates, they were not considered serious enough to reduce the level of certainty of evidence. Accordingly, the overall level of certainty of evidence was rated as moderate to high, which should be considered when interpreting the results. Future studies should adopt better relevant study designs, such as implementing concealed allocation, evaluating outcomes by independent assessors, and applying single- or doubleblind designs where feasible. Third, the training programs included lacked uniformity in variables such as training duration, frequency, and total sessions, which might affect the comparison and summary of training effects, so the findings should be interpreted with caution. Moreover, the subgroup sample sizes were small, especially in specific sports (e.g., basketball, volleyball, and football), so the findings remain to be validated by larger samples and more diverse types of sports. Fourth, although some physiological mechanisms have been empirically validated in adolescent females, others are extrapolated from studies on broader populations. Future research is needed to confirm these proposed mechanisms in female adolescents to strengthen the evidence base for training prescriptions. Finally, this study focused on female adolescent team sport athletes, including handball, basketball, football, and volleyball players, so the findings may only apply to this specific group. In conclusion, this study systematically examined the effects of ST and PT on physical fitness in female adolescent team sport athletes, but further refinement is required in the uniformity of training programs, sample sizes, and generalizability of results.

# **Practical implications**

Based on the current findings, both ST and PT significantly improve vertical jump, linear sprint, and change-of-direction speed in female adolescent team sport athletes. To enhance athletic performance, coaches are advised to use a periodized training approach that strategically combines ST and PT. ST is preferred in the early preparatory phase to establish maximal strength and neuromuscular coordination. PT can then be gradually added into the pre-competition phase to improve explosive power and sport-specific speed. Alternatively, coaches could concurrently incorporate one to two sessions of ST and PT per week. This concurrent training method may also be effective for maintaining neuromuscular coordination while minimizing the risk of overtraining or injury. Training loads should be individually tailored according to athletes' maturity level and sport requirements. Moreover, the duration and volume of each training program should be appropriate. ST appears to be effective even with fewer weekly sessions. In contrast,

as for PT, longer training duration and sessions are needed for improving athletic performance.

# Conclusion

Both ST and PT can enhance physical fitness (VJ, LS, and CODS) in female adolescent team sport athletes. Specifically, longer duration, lower frequency, or fewer sessions of ST produce better effects. Older, taller, or heavier athletes are better adapted to ST. In addition, longer duration or more sessions of PT are more effective in enhancing physical fitness. Notably, the effects of PT are not affected by the athletes' age and biological maturity. Handball players seem more adaptive/sensitive to both ST and PT than athletes of other sports.

#### Acknowledgements

The experiments comply with the current laws of the country where they were performed. The authors declare no conflicts of interest. This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors. The datasets generated and analyzed during the current study are not publicly available, but are available from the corresponding author who was an organizer of the study. All authors contributed to the study conception and design. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

### References

- Aagaard, P., Simonsen, E.B., Andersen, J.L., Magnusson, P. and Dyhre-Poulsen, P. (2002) Increased rate of force development and neural drive of human skeletal muscle following resistance training. *Journal of Applied Physiology* **93**, 1318-1326. https://doi.org/10.1152/japplphysiol.00283.2002
- Arazi, H., Khanmohammadi, A., Asadi, A. and Haff, G.G. (2018) The effect of resistance training set configuration on strength, power, and hormonal adaptation in female volleyball players. *Applied Physiology Nutrition and Metabolism* **43**, 154-164. https://doi.org/10.1139/apnm-2017-0327
- Attene, G., Iuliano, E., Di Cagno, A., Calcagno, G., Moalla, W., Aquino, G. and Padulo, J. (2015) Improving neuromuscular performance in young basketball players: plyometric vs. technique training. *The Journal of Sports Medicine and Physical Fitness* 55, 1-8.
- Avela, J., Finni, J. and Komi, P.V. (2006) Excitability of the soleus reflex arc during intensive stretch-shortening cycle exercise in two power-trained athlete groups. *European Journal of Applied Physiology* **97**, 486-493. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00421-006-0209-6
- Bangsbo, J. (1994) Energy demands in competitive soccer. *Journal of Sports Sciences* **12**, 5-12.

https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.1994.12059272

- Barker, A., Lloyd, R.S., Buchheit, M., Williams, C. and Oliver, J. (2014) The BASES expert statement on trainability during childhood and adolescence. *The Sport and Exercise Scientist* 41, 22-23.
- Behm, D.G., Young, J.D., Whitten, J.H.D., Reid, J.C., Quigley, P.J., Low, J., Li, Y., Lima, C.D., Hodgson, D.D., Chaouachi, A., Prieske, O. and Granacher, U. (2017) Effectiveness of Traditional Strength vs. Power Training on Muscle Strength, Power and Speed with Youth: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Frontiers in Physiology* 8, 423. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2017.00423
- Behringer, M., Vom Heede, A., Matthews, M. and Mester, J. (2011) Effects of strength training on motor performance skills in children and adolescents: a meta-analysis. *Pediatric Exercise Science* 23, 186-206. https://doi.org/10.1123/pes.23.2.186
- Ben Abdelkrim, N., Castagna, C., Jabri, I., Battikh, T., El Fazaa, S. and El Ati, J. (2010) Activity profile and physiological requirements of junior elite basketball players in relation to aerobic-anaerobic fitness. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research* 24, 2330-2342. https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.0b013e3181e381c1
- Bishop, D.J. and Girard, O. (2013) Determinants of team-sport performance: implications for altitude training by team-sport athletes. *British Journal of Sports Medicine* **47 Suppl 1**, 17-21. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2013-092950

- Bosco, C., Tarkka, I. and Komi, P.V. (1982a) Effect of elastic energy and myoelectrical potentiation of triceps surae during stretchshortening cycle exercise. *International Journal of Sports Medicine* 3, 137-140. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-2008-1026076
- Bosco, C., Viitasalo, J.T., Komi, P.V. and Luhtanen, P. (1982b) Combined effect of elastic energy and myoelectrical potentiation during stretch-shortening cycle exercise. Acta Physiologica Scandinavica 114, 557-565. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1748-1716.1982.tb07024.x
- Carmichael, M.A., Thomson, R.L., Moran, L.J. and Wycherley, T.P. (2021) The impact of menstrual cycle phase on athletes' performance: a narrative review. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 18, 1667. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18041667
- Cavagna, G.A., Dusman, B. and Margaria, R. (1968) Positive work done by a previously stretched muscle. *Journal of Applied Physiology* 24, 21-32. https://doi.org/10.1152/jappl.1968.24.1.21
- Chaabene, H., Prieske, O., Negra, Y. and Granacher, U. (2018) Change of direction speed: Toward a strength training approach with accentuated eccentric muscle actions. *Sports Medicine* 48, 1773-1779. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-018-0907-3
- Chaouachi, A., Brughelli, M., Levin, G., Boudhina, N.B., Cronin, J. and Chamari, K. (2009) Anthropometric, physiological and performance characteristics of elite team-handball players. *Journal of Sports Sciences* 27, 151-157. https://doi.org/10.1080/02640410802448731
- Chelly, M.S., Fathloun, M., Cherif, N., Ben Amar, M., Tabka, Z. and Van Praagh, E. (2009) Effects of a back squat training program on leg power, jump, and sprint performances in junior soccer players. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research* 23, 2241-2249. https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.0b013e3181b86c40
- Chelly, M.S., Hermassi, S., Aouadi, R., Khalifa, R., Van den Tillaar, R., Chamari, K. and Shephard, R.J. (2011) Match analysis of elite adolescent team handball players. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research* 25, 2410-2417. https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.0b013e3182030e43
- Comfort, P., Stewart, A., Bloom, L. and Clarkson, B. (2014) Relationships between strength, sprint, and jump performance in well-trained youth soccer players. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research* 28, 173-177. https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.0b013e318291b8c7
- Cormie, P., McCaulley, G.O. and McBride, J.M. (2007) Power versus strength-power jump squat training: influence on the load-power relationship. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise* 39, 996-1003. https://doi.org/10.1097/mss.0b013e3180408e0c
- Cormie, P., McGuigan, M.R. and Newton, R.U. (2010) Changes in the eccentric phase contribute to improved stretch-shorten cycle performance after training. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise* 42, 1731-1744. https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0b013e3181d392e8
- Cronin, J. and Sleivert, G. (2005) Challenges in understanding the influence of maximal power training on improving athletic performance. *Sports Medicine* **35**, 213-234. https://doi.org/10.2165/00007256-200535030-00003
- Datson, N., Hulton, A., Andersson, H., Lewis, T., Weston, M., Drust, B. and Gregson, W. (2014) Applied physiology of female soccer: an update. *Sports Medicine* **44**, 1225-1240. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-014-0199-1
- Deeks, J.J., Higgins, J.P., Altman, D.G. and Group, C.S.M. (2019) Analysing data and undertaking meta-analyses. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions 241-284. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119536604.ch10
- Drevon, D., Fursa, S.R. and Malcolm, A.L. (2017) Intercoder reliability and validity of WebPlotDigitizer in extracting graphed data. *Behavior Modification* **41**, 323-339. https://doi.org/10.1177/0145445516673998
- Duncan, M.J., Woodfield, L. and al-Nakeeb, Y. (2006) Anthropometric and physiological characteristics of junior elite volleyball players. *British Journal of Sports Medicine* 40, 649-651. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsm.2005.021998
- Duval, S. and Tweedie, R. (2000) Trim and fill: a simple funnel-plotbased method of testing and adjusting for publication bias in meta-analysis. *Biometrics* 56, 455-463. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0006-341X.2000.00455.x
- Egger, M., Smith, G.D., Schneider, M. and Minder, C. (1997) Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. *British Medical Journal* **315**, 629-634.

https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.315.7109.629

- Emmonds, S., Heyward, O. and Jones, B. (2019) The challenge of applying and undertaking research in female sport. Sports Medicine-Open 5, 1-4. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40798-019-0224-x
- Emmonds, S., Morris, R., Murray, E., Robinson, C., Turner, L. and Jones, B. (2017) The influence of age and maturity status on the maximum and explosive strength characteristics of elite youth female soccer players. *Science and Medicine in Football* 1, 209-215. https://doi.org/10.1080/24733938.2017.1363908
- Ettema, G.J., van Soest, A.J. and Huijing, P.A. (1990) The role of series elastic structures in prestretch-induced work enhancement during isotonic and isokinetic contractions. *Journal of Experimental Biology* **154**, 121-136. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.154.1.121
- Faigenbaum, A.D. and Myer, G.D. (2010) Resistance training among young athletes: safety, efficacy and injury prevention effects. *British Journal of Sports Medicine* 44, 56-63. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsm.2009.068098
- Faude, O., Koch, T. and Meyer, T. (2012) Straight sprinting is the most frequent action in goal situations in professional football. *Journal of Sports Sciences* **30**, 625-631. https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2012.665940
- Flemyng, E., Moore, T.H., Boutron, I., Higgins, J.P., Hróbjartsson, A., Nejstgaard, C.H. and Dwan, K. (2023) Using Risk of Bias 2 to assess results from randomised controlled trials: guidance from Cochrane. *BMJ Evidence-Based Medicine* 28, 260-266. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjebm-2022-112102
- Gaamouri, N., Hammami, M., Cherni, Y., Oranchuk, D.J., Bragazzi, N., Knechtle, B., Chelly, M.S. and van den Tillaar, R. (2023a) The effects of upper and lower limb elastic band training on the change of direction, jump, power, strength and repeated sprint ability performance in adolescent female handball players. *Frontiers in Sports and Active Living* 5, 1021757. https://doi.org/10.3389/fspor.2023.1021757
- Gaamouri, N., Hammami, M., Cherni, Y., Rosemann, T., Knechtle, B., Chelly, M.S. and van den Tillaar, R. (2023b) The effects of 10week plyometric training program on athletic performance in youth female handball players. *Frontiers in Sports and Active Living* 5, 1193026. https://doi.org/10.3389/fspor.2023.1193026
- Gaamouri, N., Hammami, M., Cherni, Y., Oranchuk, D.J., van den Tillaar, R. and Chelly, M.S. (2024) Rubber Band Training Improves Athletic Performance in Young Female Handball Players. Journal of Human Kinetics 92, 227-238. https://doi.org/10.5114/jhk/175396
- Gabbett, T.J. (2000) Physiological and anthropometric characteristics of amateur rugby league players. *British Journal of Sports Medicine* 34, 303-307. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsm.34.4.303
- Garcia-Ramos, A., Haff, G.G., Feriche, B. and Jaric, S. (2018) Effects of different conditioning programmes on the performance of high-velocity soccer-related tasks: Systematic review and meta-analysis of controlled trials. *International Journal of Sports Science* & Coaching 13, 129-151. https://doi.org/10.1177/1747954117711096
- Genc H, C.A. and Sever O. (2019) Effect of 8-week core training exercises on physical and physiological parameters of female handball players. *Physical Education of Students* 23, 297-305. https://doi.org/10.15561/20755279.2019.0604
- Georgopoulos, N.A., Markou, K.B., Theodoropoulou, A., Vagenakis, G.A., Benardot, D., Leglise, M., Dimopoulos, J.C. and Vagenakis, A.G. (2001) Height velocity and skeletal maturation in elite female rhythmic gymnasts. *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism* 86, 5159-5164. https://doi.org/10.1210/jcem.86.11.8041
- Glaister, B.C., Orendurff, M.S., Schoen, J.A., Bernatz, G.C. and Klute, G.K. (2008) Ground reaction forces and impulses during a transient turning maneuver. *Journal of Biomechanics* 41, 3090-3093. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2008.07.022
- Granacher, U., Prieske, O., Majewski, M., Büsch, D. and Mühlbauer, T. (2015) The role of instability with plyometric training in subelite adolescent soccer players. *International Journal of Sports Medicine* 36, 386-394. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0034-1395519
- Haghighi, A.H., Hosseini, S.B., Askari, R., Shahrabadi, H. and Ramirez-Campillo, R. (2024) Effects of plyometric compared to highintensity interval training on youth female basketball player's athletic performance. *Sport Sciences for Health* **20**, 211-220. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11332-023-01096-2

- Hakkinen, K. (1985) Effect of explosive type strength training on electromyographic and force production characteristics of les extensor muscles during concentric and various stretchshortening cycle exercises. *Scandinavian Journal of Sports Sciences* 7, 65-76.
- Häkkukinen, K., Komi, P. and Alen, M. (1985) Effect of explosive type strength training on isometric force-and relaxation-time, electromyographic and muscle fibre characteristics of leg extensor muscles. Acta Physiologica Scandinavica 125, 587-600. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1748-1716.1985.tb07760.x
- Hammami, M., Gaamouri, N., Suzuki, K., Shephard, R.J. and Chelly, M.S. (2020) Effects of Upper and Lower Limb Plyometric Training Program on Components of Physical Performance in Young Female Handball Players. *Frontiers in Physiology* 11, 1028. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2020.01028
- Hammami, M., Gaamouri, N., Wagner, H., Pagaduan, J.C., Hill, L., Nikolaidis, P.T., Knechtle, B. and Chelly, M.S. (2022a) Effects of strength training with elastic band programme on fitness components in young female handball players: a randomized controlled trial. *Biology of Sport* 39, 537-545. https://doi.org/10.5114/biolsport.2022.106390
- Hammami, M., Gaamouri, N., Cherni, Y., Chelly, M.S., Hill, L. and Knechtle, B. (2022b) Effects of contrast strength training with elastic band program on sprint, jump, strength, balance and repeated change of direction in young female handball players Contrast training in female handball. *International Journal of Sports Science & Coaching* 17, 1147-1157. https://doi.org/10.1177/17479541211050724
- Hammami, M., Ramirez-Campillo, R., Gaamouri, N., Aloui, G., Shephard, R.J. and Chelly, M.S. (2019) Effects of a Combined Upper- and Lower-Limb Plyometric Training Program on High-Intensity Actions in Female U14 Handball Players. *Pediatric Exercise Science* 31, 465-472. https://doi.org/10.1123/pes.2018-0278
- Hammami, M. and Zmijewski, P. (2024) Comparative analysis of standard and contrast elastic resistance band training effects on physical fitness in female adolescent handball players. *Biology* of Sport 41, 119-127.

https://doi.org/10.5114/biolsport.2024.134143

- Hammett, J.B. and Hey, W.T. (2003) Neuromuscular adaptation to shortterm (4 weeks) ballistic training in trained high school athletes. *The Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research* 17, 556-560. https://doi.org/10.1519/00124278-200308000-00022
- Harries, S.K., Lubans, D.R. and Callister, R. (2012) Resistance training to improve power and sports performance in adolescent athletes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Science and Medicine* in Sport 15, 532-540. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsams.2012.02.005
- Higgins, J.P., Deeks, J.J. and Altman, D.G. (2008) Special topics in statistics. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions: Cochrane Book Series 481-529. https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470712184.ch16
- Higgins, J.P. and Thompson, S.G. (2002) Quantifying heterogeneity in a meta-analysis. *Statistics in Medicine* **21**, 1539-1558. https://doi.org/10.1002/sim.1186
- Hopkins, W., Marshall, S., Batterham, A. and Hanin, J. (2009) Progressive statistics for studies in sports medicine and exercise science. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise* 41, 3. https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0b013e31818cb278
- Hughes, W., Healy, R., Lyons, M., Nevill, A., Higginbotham, C., Lane, A. and Beattie, K. (2023) The Effect of Different Strength Training Modalities on Sprint Performance in Female Team-Sport Athletes: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Sports Medicine 53, 993-1015. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-023-01820-5
- Hunter, S.K. and Senefeld, J.W. (2024) Sex differences in human performance. *The Journal of Physiology* **602**, 4129-4156. https://doi.org/10.1113/JP284198
- I, I. (2020) Comparison of Training Effects of Split-Style Olympic Lifts and Squat-Style Olympic Lifts on Performance in Collegiate Volleyball Players. *Physical Educator-Us* 77, 668-686. https://doi.org/10.18666/TPE-2020-V77-I3-9913
- Idriss, M.M, Abdelkader, G., Madani, R., Mohammed, Z and Ali, B. (2022) Effect of plyometric training on improving vertical jump in female footballers (14-17 years old). *Turkish Journal of Kinesiology* 8, 37-43. https://doi.org/10.31459/turkjkin.1108335

Idrizovic, K., Gjinovci, B., Sekulic, D., Uljevic, O., João, P.V., Spasic,

M. and Sattler, T. (2018) The Effects of 3-Month Skill-Based and Plyometric Conditioning on Fitness Parameters in Junior Female Volleyball Players. *Pediatric Exercise Science* **30**, 353-363. https://doi.org/10.1123/pes.2017-0178

- Keiner, M., Kapsecker, A., Stefer, T., Kadlubowski, B. and Wirth, K. (2021) Differences in Squat Jump, Linear Sprint, and Changeof-Direction Performance among Youth Soccer Players According to Competitive Level. Sports (Basel) 9. https://doi.org/10.3390/sports9110149
- Komi, P. (1986) The stretch-shortening cycle and human power output. Human Muscle Power 27-39.
- Kontopantelis, E., Springate, D.A. and Reeves, D. (2013) A re-analysis of the Cochrane Library data: the dangers of unobserved heterogeneity in meta-analyses. *Plos One* 8, e69930. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0069930
- Lesinski, M., Prieske, O. and Granacher, U. (2016) Effects and doseresponse relationships of resistance training on physical performance in youth athletes: a systematic review and metaanalysis. British Journal of Sports Medicine 50, 781-795. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2015-095497
- Lichtwark, G.A. and Wilson, A.M. (2005) Effects of series elasticity and activation conditions on muscle power output and efficiency. *Journal of Experimental Biology* 208, 2845-2853. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.01710
- Lloyd, R.S., Cronin, J.B., Faigenbaum, A.D., Haff, G.G., Howard, R., Kraemer, W.J., Micheli, L.J., Myer, G.D. and Oliver, J.L. (2016) National Strength and Conditioning Association position statement on long-term athletic development. *The Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research* **30**, 1491-1509. https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.000000000001387
- Lloyd, R.S., Faigenbaum, A.D., Stone, M.H., Oliver, J.L., Jeffreys, I., Moody, J.A., Brewer, C., Pierce, K.C., McCambridge, T.M. and Howard, R. (2014) Position statement on youth resistance training: the 2014 International Consensus. *British Journal of Sports Medicine* 48, 498-505. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2013-092952
- Lloyd, R.S. and Oliver, J.L. (2012) The youth physical development model: A new approach to long-term athletic development. *Strength & Conditioning Journal* **34**, 61-72. https://doi.org/10.1519/SSC.0b013e31825760ea
- Lloyd, R.S., Oliver, J.L., Hughes, M.G. and Williams, C.A. (2012) The effects of 4-weeks of plyometric training on reactive strength index and leg stiffness in male youths. *The Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research* **26**, 2812-2819. https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.0b013e318242d2ec
- Luteberget, L.S. and Spencer, M. (2017) High-Intensity Events in International Women's Team Handball Matches. *International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance* 12, 56-61. https://doi.org/10.1123/ijspp.2015-0641
- Markovic, G. and Jaric, S. (2007) Is vertical jump height a body sizeindependent measure of muscle power? *Journal of Sports Sciences* 25, 1355-1363. https://doi.org/10.1080/02640410601021713
- Markovic, G. and Mikulic, P. (2010) Neuro-musculoskeletal and performance adaptations to lower-extremity plyometric training. *Sports Medicine* 40, 859-895. https://doi.org/10.2165/11318370-000000000-00000
- Martel, G.F., Harmer, M.L., Logan, J.M. and Parker, C.B. (2005) Aquatic plyometric training increases vertical jump in female volleyball players. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise* 37, 1814-1819. https://doi.org/10.1249/01.mss.0000184289.87574.60
- McMahon, J.J., Murphy, S., Rej, S.J. and Comfort, P. (2017) Countermovement-jump-phase characteristics of senior and academy rugby league players. *International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance* **12**, 803-811. https://doi.org/10.1123/ijspp.2016-0467
- Meszler, B. and Váczi, M. (2019) Effects of short-term in-season plyometric training in adolescent female basketball players. *Physiology International* **106**, 168-179. https://doi.org/10.1556/2060.106.2019.14
- Michaleff, Z.A. and Kamper, S.J. (2011) Effects of resistance training in children and adolescents: a meta-analysis. *British Journal of Sports Medicine* 45, 755. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2011-090170
- Moran, J., Clark, C.C., Ramirez-Campillo, R., Davies, M.J. and Drury, B. (2019) A meta-analysis of plyometric training in female youth: its efficacy and shortcomings in the literature. *The Journal of*

Strength & Conditioning Research 33, 1996-2008. https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.00000000002768

- Moran, J., Sandercock, G., Ramirez-Campillo, R., Clark, C.C., Fernandes, J.F. and Drury, B. (2018) A meta-analysis of resistance training in female vouth: its effect on muscular strength, and shortcomings in the literature. Sports Medicine 48, 1661-1671. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-018-0914-4
- Moran, J., Sandercock, G.R., Ramírez-Campillo, R., Meylan, C., Collison, J. and Parry, D.A. (2017) A meta-analysis of maturation-related variation in adolescent boy athletes' adaptations to short-term resistance training. Journal of Sports Sciences 35, 1041-1051.

https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2016.1209306

- Morin, J.-B., Bourdin, M., Edouard, P., Peyrot, N., Samozino, P. and Lacour, J.-R. (2012) Mechanical determinants of 100-m sprint running performance. European Journal of Applied Physiology 112, 3921-3930. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00421-012-2379-8
- Ortega, J.A.F., De Los Reyes, Y.G. and Peña, F.RG. (2020) Effects of strength training based on velocity versus traditional training on muscle mass, neuromuscular activation, and indicators of maximal power and strength in girls soccer players. Apunts-Medicina De L Esport 55, 53-61.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apunsm.2020.03.002

- Ostojic, S.M., Mazic, S. and Dikic, N. (2006) Profiling in basketball: physical and physiological characteristics of elite players. Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research 20, 740-744. https://doi.org/10.1519/R-15944.1
- Ozbar, N., Ates, S. and Agopyan, A. (2014) The effect of 8-week plyometric training on leg power, jump and sprint performance in female soccer players. Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research 28, 2888-2894.
  - https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.000000000000541
- PA, C. (2015) A study of the effects of explosive strength exercises on some blood parameters, technical and conditional characteristics of female basketball players in the 15-17 age group. Medicina Dello Sport 68, 413-434.
- Paes, P.P., Correia, G.A.F., Damasceno, V.D.O., Lucena, E.V.R., Alexandre, I.G., Da Silva, L.R., Dos Santos, W.R. and De Freitas Jr, C.G. (2022) Effect of plyometric training on sprint and change of direction speed in young basketball athletes. Journal of Physical Education and Sport 22, 305-310.
- Page, M.J., Moher, D., Bossuyt, P.M., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T.C., Mulrow, C.D., Shamseer, L., Tetzlaff, J.M., Akl, E.A. and Brennan, S.E. (2021) PRISMA 2020 explanation and elaboration: updated guidance and exemplars for reporting systematic reviews. British Medical Journal 372. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n160
- Pedersen, S., Heitmann, K.A., Sagelv, E.H., Johansen, D. and Pettersen, S.A. (2019) Improved maximal strength is not associated with improvements in sprint time or jump height in high-level female football players: a clusterrendomized controlled trial. BMC Sports Science Medicine and Rehabilitation 11, 20. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13102-019-0133-9
- Peitz, M., Behringer, M. and Granacher, U. (2018) A systematic review on the effects of resistance and plyometric training on physical fitness in youth- What do comparative studies tell us? Plos One 13, e0205525. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0205525
- Pellett, P.L. (1990) Food energy requirements in humans. The American 51, Journal 711-722. of Clinical Nutrition https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcn/51.5.711
- Peñailillo, L., Espíldora, F., Jannas-Vela, S., Mujika, I. and Zbinden-Foncea, H. (2016) Muscle Strength and Speed Performance in Youth Soccer Players. Journal of Human Kinetics 50, 203-210. https://doi.org/10.1515/hukin-2015-0157
- Pereira, L.A., Nimphius, S., Kobal, R., Kitamura, K., Turisco, L.A.L., Orsi, R.C., Cal Abad, C.C. and Loturco, I. (2018) Relationship Between Change of Direction, Speed, and Power in Male and Female National Olympic Team Handball Athletes. Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research 32, 2987-2994. https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.000000000002494
- Pescatello, L.S., MacDonald, H.V., Lamberti, L. and Johnson, B.T. (2015) Exercise for hypertension: a prescription update integrating existing recommendations with emerging research. Current Hypertension Reports 17, 1 - 10.https://doi.org/10.1007/s11906-015-0600-y
- Radnor, J.M., Oliver, J.L., Waugh, C.M., Myer, G.D., Moore, I.S. and Lloyd, R.S. (2018) The Influence of Growth and Maturation on

Stretch-Shortening Cycle Function in Youth. Sports Medicine 48, 57-71. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-017-0785-0

- Ramirez-Campillo, R., Álvarez, C., García-Hermoso, A., Ramírez-Vélez, R., Gentil, P., Asadi, A., Chaabene, H., Moran, J., Meylan, C., García-de-Alcaraz, A., Sanchez-Sanchez, J., Nakamura, F.Y., Granacher, U., Kraemer, W. and Izquierdo, M. (2018) Methodological Characteristics and Future Directions for Plyometric Jump Training Research: A Scoping Review. Sports Medicine 48, 1059-1081. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-018-0870-z
- Reilly, T., Bangsbo, J. and Franks, A. (2000) Anthropometric and physiological predispositions for elite soccer. Journal of Sports Sciences 18, 669-683. https://doi.org/10.1080/02640410050120050

Rojano Ortega, D., Berral-Aguilar, A.J. and Berral de la Rosa, F.J. (2022) Kinetics and Vertical Stiffness of Female Volleyball Players: Effect of Low-Intensity Plyometric Training. Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport 93, 734-740. https://doi.org/10.1080/02701367.2021.1915946

- Rubley, M.D., Haase, A.C., Holcomb, W.R., Girouard, T.J. and Tandy, R.D. (2011) The effect of plyometric training on power and kicking distance in female adolescent soccer players. Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research 25, 129-134. https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.0b013e3181b94a3d
- Rumpf, M.C., Cronin, J.B., Oliver, J.L. and Hughes, M. (2011) Assessing youth sprint ability-Methodological issues, reliability and performance data. Pediatric Exercise Science 23, 442-467. https://doi.org/10.1123/pes.23.4.442
- Şahin, F.B., Kafkas, A.Ş., Kafkas, M.E., Taşkapan, M.Ç. and Jones, A.M. (2022) The effect of active vs passive recovery and use of compression garments following a single bout of muscledamaging exercise. Isokinetics and Exercise Science 30, 117-126. https://doi.org/10.3233/IES-210155
- Scanlan, A.T., Dascombe, B.J., Kidcaff, A.P., Peucker, J.L. and Dalbo, V.J. (2015) Gender-specific activity demands experienced during semiprofessional basketball game play. International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance 10, 618-625. https://doi.org/10.1123/ijspp.2014-0407
- Schünemann, H., Brożek, J., Guyatt, G. and Oxman, A. (2013) Handbook for grading the quality of evidence and the strength of recommendations using the GRADE approach.
- Slimani, M., Chamari, K., Miarka, B., Del Vecchio, F.B. and Cheour, F. (2016) Effects of plyometric training on physical fitness in team sport athletes: a systematic review. Journal of Human Kinetics 53, 231. https://doi.org/10.1515/hukin-2016-0026
- Slimani, M., Paravlic, A. and Granacher, U. (2018) A Meta-Analysis to Determine Strength Training Related Dose-Response Relationships for Lower-Limb Muscle Power Development in Young Athletes. Frontiers in Physiology 9, 1155. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2018.01155
- Spiteri, T., Cochrane, J.L., Hart, N.H., Haff, G.G. and Nimphius, S. (2013) Effect of strength on plant foot kinetics and kinematics during a change of direction task. European Journal of Sport Science 13, 646-652. https://doi.org/10.1080/17461391.2013.774053
- Spiteri, T., Newton, R.U., Binetti, M., Hart, N.H., Sheppard, J.M. and Nimphius, S. (2015) Mechanical determinants of faster change of direction and agility performance in female basketball athletes. The Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research 29, 2205-2214. https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.000000000000876
- Spiteri, T., Nimphius, S., Hart, N.H., Specos, C., Sheppard, J.M. and Newton, R.U. (2014) Contribution of strength characteristics to change of direction and agility performance in female basketball athletes. The Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research 28, 2415-2423. https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.0000000000000547
- Stojanović, E., Ristić, V., McMaster, D.T. and Milanović, Z. (2017) Effect of plyometric training on vertical jump performance in female athletes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Sports Medicine 47, 975-986. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-016-0634-6
- Stojanović, E., Stojiljković, N., Scanlan, A.T., Dalbo, V.J., Berkelmans, D.M. and Milanović, Z. (2018) The Activity Demands and Physiological Responses Encountered During Basketball Match-Play: A Systematic Review. Sports Medicine 48, 111-135. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-017-0794-z

Department of Sport Studies, Faculty of

Educational Studies, Universiti Putra

Sports science, performance analysis

E-mail: zhuxiaolin705@gmail.com

Nurul Amelina NASHARUDDIN

nology, Universiti Putra Malaysia

Department of Multimedia, Faculty of

Computer Science and Information Tech-

Information retrieval, usability studies

Tengku Fadilah Tengku KAMALDEN

National Sports Institute, National Sports

E-mail: nurulamelina@upm.edu.my

Sports science, sports physiology

University of Arts and Science

E-mail: tengku.fadilah@gmail.com

- Stølen, T., Chamari, K., Castagna, C. and Wisløff, U. (2005) Physiology of soccer: an update. *Sports Medicine* **35**, 501-536. https://doi.org/10.2165/00007256-200535060-00004
- Suchomel, T.J., Nimphius, S. and Stone, M.H. (2016) The importance of muscular strength in athletic performance. Sports Medicine 46, 1419-1449. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-016-0486-0
- Taube, W., Leukel, C. and Gollhofer, A. (2012) How neurons make us jump: the neural control of stretch-shortening cycle movements. Exercise and Sport Sciences Reviews 40, 106-1015. https://doi.org/10.1097/JES.0b013e31824138da
- Taylor, J.B., Wright, A.A., Dischiavi, S.L., Townsend, M.A. and Marmon, A.R. (2017) Activity Demands During Multi-Directional Team Sports: A Systematic Review. Sports Medicine 47, 2533-2551. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-017-0772-5
- Thompson, B. and Han, A. (2019) Methodological recommendations for menstrual cycle research in sports and exercise. Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise 51, 2610-2617. https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0000000000002073
- Tomalka, A., Weidner, S., Hahn, D., Seiberl, W. and Siebert, T. (2020) Cross-Bridges and Sarcomeric Non-cross-bridge Structures Contribute to Increased Work in Stretch-Shortening Cycles. Frontiers in Physiology 11, 921. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2020.00921
- Turgut, E., Çolakoğlu, F.F., Atalay, G.N., Karacan, S. and Baltacı, G. (2016) Effects of weighted versus standard jump rope training on physical fitness in adolescent female volleyball players: A randomized controlled trial. Fizyoterapi Rehabilitasyon 27, 108-115. https://doi.org/10.21653/tfrd.286776
- Váczi, M., Fazekas, G., Pilissy, T., Cselkó, A., Trzaskoma, L., Sebesi, B. and Tihanyi, J. (2022) The effects of eccentric hamstring exercise training in young female handball players. European Journal ofApplied Physiology 122, 955-964. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00421-022-04888-5
- Vaeyens, R., Malina, R.M., Janssens, M., Van Renterghem, B., Bourgois, J., Vrijens, J. and Philippaerts, R.M. (2006) A multidisciplinary selection model for youth soccer: the Ghent Youth Soccer Project. British Journal of Sports Medicine 40, 928-934. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsm.2006.029652

## **Key points**

- Strength and plyometric training are effective in improving vertical jump, linear sprint, and change-of-direction speed in female adolescent team sport athletes.
- Participants exhibited comparable improvements in vertical jump, linear sprint, and change-of-direction speed following strength and plyometric training, with no significant differences observed between the two training programs.
- Strength and plyometric training should be tailored to specific training protocols and athlete characteristics to maximize effectiveness. For strength training, extending training duration, reducing session frequency, or limiting total sessions may enhance outcomes. Adaptations to strength training appear more pronounced in older, taller, and heavier athletes. For plyometric training, longer training durations or higher total session counts yield greater improvements.

#### AUTHOR BIOGRAPHY

Hua LUO

Malaysia

Degree

PhD

Employment

**Research interests** 

Xiaolin ZHU

cal activity

Employment

**Research interests** 

Complex, Kuala Lumpur

**Research interests** 

**Changqing XIANG** 

**Employment** 

Degree

PhD

**Employment** 

Degree

PhD

Degree

PhD











#### **Research interests**

Talent cultivation, sports talent identification **E-mail:** xiangchangqing865@gmail.com

Faculty of Physical Education, Hubei

#### 🖂 Xiaolin Zhu

College of Sport and Art, Shenzhen Technology University, China

# **Supplementary Materials**

# **Supplementary Material 1.** Detailed search strategies.

Pu	bMed	·	
No.	Query	Search Details	Results
7	(#1 OR #2 OR #3) AND (#4 OR #5) AND #6	("resistance training"[MeSH Terms] OR "plyometric exercise"[MeSH Terms] OR ("strength training"[Title/Abstract] OR "weight training"[Title/Abstract] OR "resistance training"[Title/Abstract] OR "weight exercise*"[Title/Abstract] OR "resistance exercise*"[Title/Abstract] OR "weight exercise*"[Title/Abstract] OR "resistance exercise*"[Title/Abstract] OR "power exercise*"[Title/Abstract] OR "resistance exercise*"[Title/Abstract] OR "bodybuilding"[Title/Abstract] OR "heavy load*"[Title/Abstract] OR "bodybuilding"[Title/Abstract] OR "heavy load*"[Title/Abstract] OR "socinetic exercise*"[Title/Abstract] OR "resistance exercise*"[Title/Abstract] OR "calisthenics"[Title/Abstract] OR "free weight*"[Title/Abstract] OR "calisthenics"[Title/Abstract] OR "machine weight*"[Title/Abstract] OR "calisthenics"[Title/Abstract] OR "mesisted run*"[Title/Abstract] OR "reasisted bands"[Title/Abstract] OR "resisted servire"[Title/Abstract] OR "resisted servire"] OR "leastic bands"[Title/Abstract] OR "resisted run*"[Title/Abstract] OR "leastic bands"[Title/Abstract] OR "resisted servire"] Title/Abstract] OR "resisted servire"[Title/Abstract] OR "plyometric *"[Title/Abstract] OR "muscle strength"[Title/Abstract] OR "lyometric *"[Title/Abstract] OR "plyometric #"[Title/Abstract] OR "plyometric #"[Title/Abstract] OR "plyometric drills"[Title/Abstract] OR "plyometric exercise"[Title/Abstract] OR "plyometric #"[Title/Abstract] OR "plyometric drills"[Title/Abstract] OR "log purps"[Title/Abstract] OR "squat jumps "[Title/Abstract] OR "countermovement jumps"[Title/Abstract] OR "squat jumps"[Title/Abstract] OR "resound jumps"[Title/Abstract] OR "squat jumps"[Title/Abstract] OR "how purps"[Title/Abstract] OR "secentric-concentric training"[Title/Abstract] OR "squat jumps"[Title/Abstract] OR "soccer"[Title/Abstract] OR "secentric-concentric training"[Title/Abstract] OR "how purps"[Title/Abstract] OR "soccer"[Title/Abstract] OR "soccer"[Title/Abstract] OR "soccer"[Title/Abstract] OR "soccer"[Title/Abstract] OR "soccer"[Title/Abstract] OR "soctsa	1,411
6	"randomized controlled trial"[11- tle/Abstract] OR "controlled clinical trial"[Title/Abstract] OR "random- ized"[Title/Abstract] OR "pla- cebo"[Title/Abstract] OR "ran- domly"[Title/Abstract] OR "trial"[Title/Abstract] OR "groups"[Title/Abstract]	"randomized controlled trial"[Title/Abstract] OR "controlled clinical trial"[Title/Abstract] OR "randomized"[Title/Abstract] OR "randomised"[Ti- tle/Abstract] OR "placebo"[Title/Abstract] OR "randomly"[Title/Abstract] OR "trial"[Title/Abstract] OR "groups"[Title/Abstract]	3,898,138
5	"football"[Title/Abstract] OR "soc- cer"[Title/Abstract] OR "futsal"[Ti- tle/Abstract] OR "basketball"[Ti- tle/Abstract] OR "handball"[Ti- tle/Abstract] OR "volleyball"[Ti- tle/Abstract] OR "rugby"[Title/Ab- stract] OR "hockey"[Title/Abstract] OR "baseball"[Title/Abstract] OR "softball"[Title/Abstract] OR "team sport*"[Title/Abstract]	"football"[Title/Abstract] OR "soccer"[Title/Abstract] OR "futsal"[Title/Ab- stract] OR "basketball"[Title/Abstract] OR "handball"[Title/Abstract] OR "volleyball"[Title/Abstract] OR "rugby"[Title/Abstract] OR "hockey"[Ti- tle/Abstract] OR "baseball"[Title/Abstract] OR "softball"[Title/Abstract] OR "team sport*"[Title/Abstract]	43,083
4	team sports[MeSH Terms]	"team sports"[MeSH Terms]	429



EMI	BASE	
No.	Query	Results
#7	(#1 OR #2 OR #3) AND (#4 OR #5) AND #6	1,542
#6	'randomized controlled trial':ti,ab,kw OR 'controlled clinical trial':ti,ab,kw OR 'randomized':ti,ab,kw OR 'oR 'randomised':ti,ab,kw OR 'placebo':ti,ab,kw OR 'randomly':ti,ab,kw OR 'trial':ti,ab,kw OR 'groups':ti,ab,kw	5,473,571
#5	'football':ti,ab,kw OR 'soccer':ti,ab,kw OR 'futsal':ti,ab,kw OR 'basketball':ti,ab,kw OR 'hand- ball':ti,ab,kw OR 'volleyball':ti,ab,kw OR 'rugby':ti,ab,kw OR 'hockey':ti,ab,kw OR 'baseball':ti,ab,kw OR 'softball':ti,ab,kw OR 'team sport*':ti,ab,kw	49,497
#4	'team sport'/exp	1,758
#3	'strength training':ti,ab,kw OR 'weight training':ti,ab,kw OR 'resistance training':ti,ab,kw OR 'eccentric training':ti,ab,kw OR 'strength exercise*':ti,ab,kw OR 'weight exercise*':ti,ab,kw OR 'resistance exercise*':ti,ab,kw OR 'power exercise*':ti,ab,kw OR 'eccentric exercise*':ti,ab,kw OR 'isokinetic exercise*':ti,ab,kw OR 'heavy load*':ti,ab,kw OR 'bodybuilding':ti,ab,kw OR 'olympic lift*':ti,ab,kw OR 'muscular endurance':ti,ab,kw OR 'crossfit':ti,ab,kw OR 'calisthenics':ti,ab,kw OR 'free weight*':ti,ab,kw OR 'machine exercise*':ti,ab,kw OR 'machine weight*':ti,ab,kw OR 'machine exercise*':ti,ab,kw OR 'machine weight*':ti,ab,kw OR 'leastic bands':ti,ab,kw OR 'mechine exercise*':ti,ab,kw OR 'medicine ball*':ti,ab,kw OR 'kettlebell*':ti,ab,kw OR 'resisted speed':ti,ab,kw OR 'resisted sprint*':ti,ab,kw OR 'resisted run*':ti,ab,kw OR 'sled towing':ti,ab,kw OR 'resisted sled':ti,ab,kw OR 'uphill run*':ti,ab,kw OR 'plyometric training':ti,ab,kw OR 'plyometric':ti,ab,kw OR 'plyometric exercise':ti,ab,kw OR 'plyometric':ti,ab,kw OR 'plyometric training':ti,ab,kw OR 'plyometric intervention':ti,ab,kw OR 'plyometric program':ti,ab,kw OR 'plyometric drills':ti,ab,kw OR 'plyometric intervention':ti,ab,kw OR 'box jumps':ti,ab,kw OR 'reactive strength training':ti,ab,kw OR 'power training':ti,ab,kw OR 'slad towing':ti,ab,kw OR 'reactive strength training':ti,ab,kw OR 'power training':ti,ab,kw OR 'slad towing':ti,ab,kw OR 'reactive strength training':ti,ab,kw OR 'power training':ti,ab,kw OR 'slad towing':ti,ab,kw OR 'reactive strength training':ti,ab,kw OR 'depth jumps':ti,ab,kw OR 'slad towing':ti,ab,kw OR 'resound jumps':ti,ab,kw OR 'shock training':ti,ab,kw OR 'explosive training':ti,ab,kw OR 'slad training':ti,ab,kw OR 'stretch-shortening cycle exercises':ti,ab,kw OR 'explosive strength training':ti,ab,kw OR 'kexplosive power training':ti,ab,kw OR 'high-welocity training':ti,ab,kw OR 'high-welocity training':ti,ab,kw	84,770
#2	'plyometrics'/exp	1,400
#1	'resistance training'/exp	30,785

# Web of Science

No.	Search Query	Results
#1	TS=("strength training" OR "weight training" OR "resistance training" OR "eccentric training" OR "strength exercise*" OR "weight exercise*" OR "resistance exercise*" OR "power exercise*" OR "eccentric exercise*" OR "isokinetic exercise*" OR "heavy load*" OR "bodybuilding" OR "Olympic lift*" OR "muscular endurance" OR "crossfit" OR "calisthenics" OR "free weight*" OR "machine exercise*" OR "machine weight*" OR "elastic bands" OR "weight vest" OR "weights belts" OR "medicine ball*" OR "kettlebell*" OR "resisted speed" OR "resisted sprint*" OR "resisted run*" OR "sled towing" OR "resisted sled" OR "uphill run*" OR "muscle strength" OR "plyometric training" OR "plyometrics" OR "plyometric program" OR "jump training" OR "explosive training" OR "bounding exercises" OR "depth jumps" OR "box jumps" OR "drop jumps" OR "contermovement jumps" OR "stretch-shortening cycle exercises" OR "high-velocity training" OR	95,161
#2	TS=("football" OR "soccer" OR "futsal" OR "basketball" OR "handball" OR "volleyball" OR "rugby" OR "hockey" OR "baseball" OR "softball" OR "team sport*")	99,021
#3	TS=("randomized controlled trial" OR "controlled clinical trial" OR "randomized" OR "randomised" OR "placebo" OR "randomly" OR "trial" OR "groups")	5,528,765
#4	#1 AND #2 AND #3	2,321

# **Cochrane library**

No.	Search	Hits
#1	MeSH descriptor: [Resistance Training] explode all trees	5,775
#2	MeSH descriptor: [Plyometric Exercise] explode all trees	333
#3	('strength training' OR 'weight training' OR 'resistance training' OR 'eccentric training' OR 'strength exercise*' OR 'weight exercise*' OR 'resistance exercise*' OR 'power exercise*' OR 'eccentric exercise*' OR 'isokinetic exercise*' OR 'heavy load*' OR 'bodybuilding' OR 'Olym- pic lift*' OR 'muscular endurance' OR 'crossfit' OR 'calisthenics' OR 'free weight*' OR 'ma- chine exercise*' OR 'machine weight*' OR 'elastic bands' OR 'weight vest' OR 'weights belts' OR 'medicine ball*' OR 'kettlebell*' OR 'resisted speed' OR 'resisted sprint*' OR 'resisted run*' OR 'sled towing' OR 'resisted sled' OR 'uphill run*' OR 'muscle strength' OR 'plyometric train- ing' OR 'plyometrics' OR 'plyometric program' OR 'jump training' OR 'explosive training' OR 'ballistic training' OR 'reactive strength training' OR 'power training' OR 'vertical jump training' OR 'bounding exercises' OR 'depth jumps' OR 'box jumps' OR 'drop jumps' OR 'coun- termovement jumps' OR 'stretch-shortening cycle exercises' OR 'explosive strength training' OR 'explosive power training' OR 'high-impact training' OR 'high-velocity training'):ti,ab,kw	91,452
#4	MeSH descriptor: [Team Sports] explode all trees	23
#5	('football' OR 'soccer' OR 'futsal' OR 'basketball' OR 'handball' OR 'volleyball' OR 'rugby' OR 'hockey' OR 'baseball' OR 'softball' OR 'team sport*'):ti,ab,kw	6,096
#6	('randomized controlled trial' OR 'controlled clinical trial' OR 'randomized' OR 'randomised' OR 'placebo' OR 'randomly' OR 'trial' OR 'groups'):ti,ab,kw	1,616,22 5
#7	(#1 OR #2 OR #3) AND (#4 OR #5) AND #6	2,298

# SPORTDiscus

No.	Search Query	Results
S1	<ul> <li>SU ("strength training" OR "weight training" OR "resistance training" OR "eccentric training" OR "strength exercise*" OR "weight exercise*" OR "resistance exercise*" OR "power exercise*" OR "eccentric exercise*" OR "isokinetic exercise*" OR "heavy load*" OR "bodybuilding" OR "Olympic lift*" OR "muscular endurance" OR "crossfit" OR "calisthenics" OR "free weight*" OR "machine exercise*" OR "machine weight*" OR "elastic bands" OR "weight vest" OR "weights belts" OR "medicine ball*" OR "kettlebell*" OR "resisted speed" OR "resisted sprint*" OR "resisted run*" OR "sled towing" OR "resisted sled" OR "uphill run*" OR "muscle strength" OR "plyometric training" OR "plyometric metric or "plyometric drills" OR "plyometric intervention" OR "plyometric program" OR "jump training" OR "sounding exercises" OR "depth jumps" OR "box jumps" OR "drop jumps" OR "countermovement jumps" OR "squat jumps" OR "rebound jumps" OR "shock training" OR "eccentric-concentric training" OR "stretch-shortening cycle exercises" OR "explosive strength training" OR "explosive power training" OR "high-impact training" OR "high-impact training" OR "high-welocity training"</li> </ul>	55,265
S2	SU ("football" OR "soccer" OR "futsal" OR "basketball" OR "handball" OR "volleyball" OR "rugby" OR "hockey" OR "baseball" OR "softball" OR "team sport*")	379,813
S3	SU ("randomized controlled trial" OR "controlled clinical trial" OR "randomized" OR "random- ised" OR "placebo" OR "randomly" OR "trial" OR "groups")	33,042
S4	S1 AND S2 AND S3	353



## Supplementary Material 2. Sensitivity analyses and funnel plots.

Figure S1. Sensitivity analysis of the effect of strength training on vertical jump in female adolescent team sport athletes.



Figure S2. Sensitivity analysis of the effect of plyometric training on vertical jump in female adolescent team sport athletes.



Figure S3. Funnel plot of the effect of strength training on vertical jump in female adolescent team sport athletes.



Figure S4. Funnel plot of the effect of plyometric training on vertical jump in female adolescent team sport athletes.



Figure S5. Sensitivity analysis of the effect of strength training on linear sprint speed in female adolescent team sport athletes.



Figure S6. Sensitivity analysis of the effect of plyometric training on linear sprint speed in female adolescent team sport athletes.



Figure S7. Sensitivity analysis of the effect of strength training on change-of-direction speed in female adolescent team sport athletes.



Figure S8. Sensitivity analysis of the effect of plyometric training on change-of-direction speed in female adolescent team sport athletes

#### **Supplementary Material 3.** Subgroup analyses.

# 1. VJ 1.1 PT

# **1.1.1 Training duration**

Significant subgroup differences were found in VJ performance between PT duration >9 weeks (ES: 1.36, 95% CI: 0.65, 2.07) and  $\leq$ 9 weeks (ES: 0.51, 95% CI: 0.13, 0.89) (*p*=0.039).



# Figure 3.1 Forest plot of the effect of PT duration on VJ performance in female adolescent team sport athletes

# 1.1.2 Training frequency

No significant subgroup differences were found in VJ performance between PT frequency <2 sessions/week (ES: 1.11, 95% CI: 0.37, 1.86) and  $\geq$ 2 sessions/week (ES: 0.83, 95% CI: 0.33, 1.32) (*p*=0.531).



Figure 3.2 Forest plot of the effect of PT frequency on VJ performance in female adolescent team sport athletes

#### 1.1.3 Total sessions

VJ performance exhibited significant subgroup differences between total PT sessions  $\leq 14$  sessions (ES: 0.46, 95% CI: 0.07, 0.85) and >14 sessions (ES: 1.30, 95% CI: 0.64, 1.95) (*p*=0.031).



Figure 3.3 Forest plot of the effect of total PT sessions on VJ performance in female adolescent team sport athletes

# 1.1.4 Age

VJ performance exhibited no significant subgroup differences between PT participants aged <15 years (ES: 0.75, 95% CI: 0.36, 1.13) and >15 years (ES: 0.94, 95% CI: 0.26, 1.62) (p=0.629).



Figure 3.4 Forest plot of the effect of PT participants' age on VJ performance in female adolescent team sport athletes

#### 1.1.5 Height

PT participants <163 cm (ES: 0.94, 95% CI: 0.51, 1.37) and  $\geq$ 163 cm (ES: 0.83, 95% CI: 0.17, 1.49) had no significant subgroup differences in VJ performance (p=0.788).



### Figure 3.5 Forest plot of the effect of PT participants' height on VJ performance in female adolescent team sport athletes

## 1.1.6 Weight

PT participants <59 kg (ES: 0.80, 95% CI: 0.45, 1.16) and  $\geq$ 59 kg (ES: 0.90, 95% CI: 0.10, 1.70) had no significant subgroup differences in VJ performance (p=0.829).



Figure 3.6 Forest plot of the effect of PT participants' weight on VJ performance in female adolescent team sport athletes

#### 1.1.7 Type of sports

Following PT, handball players (ES: 1.74, 95% CI: 0.87, 2.61) had significant subgroup differences in VJ performance from football (ES: 0.90, 95% CI: 0.34, 1.47), basketball (ES: 0.14, 95% CI: -0.64, 0.92), and volleyball players (ES: 0.47, 95% CI: 0.01, 0.93) (*p*=0.030).



Figure 3.7 Forest plot of the effect of type of sports on VJ performance in female adolescent team sport athletes following PT

#### 1.2 **ST**

# **1.2.1 Training duration**

Significant subgroup differences were found in VJ performance between ST duration  $\geq 10$  weeks (ES: 1.20, 95% CI: 0.66, 1.73) and  $\leq 10$  weeks (ES: 0.03, 95% CI: -0.30, 0.37) (*p*=0.000).



Figure 3.8 Forest plot of the effect of ST duration on VJ performance in female adolescent team sport athletes

#### **1.2.2 Training frequency**

Significant subgroup differences were found in VJ performance between ST frequency  $\leq 2$  sessions/week (ES: 1.02, 95% CI: 0.46, 1.58) and >2 sessions/week (ES: 0.19, 95% CI: -0.22, 0.60) (*p*=0.020).



Figure 3.9 Forest plot of the effect of ST frequency on VJ performance in female adolescent team sport athletes

#### 1.2.3 Total sessions

VJ performance exhibited significant subgroup differences between total ST sessions  $\leq 20$  sessions (ES: 1.02, 95% CI: 0.46, 1.58) and  $\geq 20$  sessions (ES: 0.19, 95% CI: -0.22, 0.60) (*p*=0.020).



NOTE: Weights and between-subgroup heterogeneity test are from random-effects model



### 1.2.4 Age

VJ performance exhibited no significant subgroup differences between ST participants aged <15 years (ES: 0.52, 95% CI: -0.59, 1.63) and >15 years (ES: 0.80, 95% CI: 0.32, 1.28) (p=0.646).



Figure 3.11 Forest plot of the effect of ST participants' age on VJ performance in female adolescent team sport athletes

#### 1.2.5 Height

ST participants <163 cm (ES: 0.40, 95% CI: -0.24, 1.05) and  $\geq$ 163 cm (ES: 0.91, 95% CI: 0.36, 1.46) had no significant subgroup differences in VJ performance (*p*=0.245).



Figure 3.12 Forest plot of the effect of ST participants' height on VJ performance in female adolescent team sport athletes

## 1.2.6 Weight

ST participants <62 kg (ES: 0.23, 95% CI: -0.21, 0.67) and  $\geq$ 62 kg (ES: 1.30, 95% CI: 0.74, 1.86) had significant subgroup differences in VJ performance (*p*=0.003).

		Effect	%
Mean body mass and study		(95% CI)	Weight
< 62 kg			
M. Váczi 2022		1.59 (0.62, 2.56)	6.16
H. Genc 2019 -	•	0.07 (-0.81, 0.94)	6.52
J. A. F. Ortega 2020 ST1	-	0.57 (-0.13, 1.27)	7.23
J. A. F. Ortega 2020 ST2	*	-0.50 (-1.22, 0.23	7.14
I. Ince 2020 ST1		-0.39 (-1.23, 0.46	6.65
I. Ince 2020 ST2		-0.16 (-0.99, 0.68	6.68
H. Arazi 2018 ST1	-	- 0.46 (-0.43, 1.35)	6.47
H. Arazi 2018 ST2		0.44 (-0.45, 1.33)	6.47
Subgroup, DL (l <sup>2</sup> = 55.6%, p = 0.027)	$\langle \rangle$	0.23 (-0.21, 0.67)	53.33
≥ 62 kg			
M. Hammami 2024 ST1		1.60 (0.66, 2.54)	6.27
M. Hammami 2024 ST2		1.36 (0.46, 2.26)	6.41
M. Hammami 2022(a)		1.32 (0.46, 2.19)	6.58
N. Gaamouri 2024		1.75 (0.89, 2.61)	6.59
N. Gaamouri 2023(b)		1.59 (0.80, 2.37)	6.90
M. Hammami 2022(b)		1.77 (0.91, 2.63)	6.58
S. Pedersen 2019 -		-0.08 (-0.76, 0.60	7.33
Subgroup, DL (l <sup>2</sup> = 68.0%, p = 0.005)		1.30 (0.74, 1.86)	46.67
Heterogeneity between groups: p = 0.003			
Overall, DL (l <sup>2</sup> = 75.0%, p < 0.000)	$\langle \rangle$	0.74 (0.31, 1.17)	100.00

Figure 3.13 Forest plot of the effect of ST participants' weight on VJ performance in female adolescent team sport athletes

# 1.2.7 Type of sports

Following ST, handball players (ES: 1.38, 95% CI: 0.99, 1.77) had significant subgroup differences in VJ performance from football (ES: 0.00, 95% CI: -0.59, 0.60) and volleyball players (ES: 0.07, 95% CI: -0.36, 0.50) (*p*=0.000).

Sport discipline and study	Effect (95% CI)	% Weight
Handball		
M. Váczi 2022	1.59 (0.62, 2	.56) 6.16
M. Hammami 2024 ST1	1.60 (0.66, 2	.54) 6.27
M. Hammami 2024 ST2	1.36 (0.46, 2	.26) 6.41
M. Hammami 2022(a)	1.32 (0.46, 2	.19) 6.58
H. Genc 2019	0.07 (-0.81, 0	0.94) 6.52
N. Gaamouri 2024	1.75 (0.89, 2	.61) 6.59
N. Gaamouri 2023(b)	1.59 (0.80, 2	.37) 6.90
M. Hammami 2022(b)	1.77 (0.91, 2	.63) 6.58
Subgroup, DL (l <sup>2</sup> = 35.1%, p = 0.148)	1.38 (0.99, 1	.77) 52.03
Soccer		
J. A. F. Ortega 2020 ST1	0.57 (-0.13,	1.27) 7.23
J. A. F. Ortega 2020 ST2	-0.50 (-1.22,	0.23) 7.14
S. Pedersen 2019	-0.08 (-0.76,	0.60) 7.33
Subgroup, DL (I <sup>2</sup> = 54.0%, p = 0.114)	0.00 (-0.59, 0	0.60) 21.70
/olleyball		
. Ince 2020 ST1	-0.39 (-1.23,	0.46) 6.65
. Ince 2020 ST2	-0.16 (-0.99,	0.68) 6.68
I. Arazi 2018 ST1	0.46 (-0.43,	1.35) 6.47
H. Arazi 2018 ST2	0.44 (-0.45,	1.33) 6.47
Subgroup, DL ( $I^2 = 0.0\%$ , p = 0.425)	0.07 (-0.36, 0	0.50) 26.27
Heterogeneity between groups: p = 0.000		
Overall, DL (l² = 75.0%, p < 0.000)	0.74 (0.31, 1	.17) 100.00
-2		

Figure 3.14 Forest plot of the effect of type of sports on VJ performance in female adolescent team sport athletes following ST

# 2 LS speed 2.1 PT

# 2.1.1 Training duration

Significant subgroup differences were found in LS speed between PT duration <9 weeks (ES: -0.28, 95% CI: -0.88, 0.32) and  $\geq$ 9 weeks (ES: -2.20, 95% CI: -3.63, -0.76) (*p*=0.016).

	Effect	%
Duration and study	(95% CI)	Weight
< 9 weeks		
N. Ozbar 2014	-1.02 (-2.02, -0.02)	12.45
P. A. Cengizhan 2015	0.48 (-0.41, 1.37)	12.83
P. P. Paes 2022	-0.26 (-1.12, 0.60)	12.95
A. H. Haghighi 2024	-0.45 (-1.44, 0.55)	12.45
Subgroup, DL (l <sup>2</sup> = 39.8%, p = 0.173)	-0.28 (-0.88, 0.32)	50.68
≥ 9 weeks		
K. Idrizovic 2018	-0.74 (-1.48, 0.01)	13.32
E. Turgut 2016	-1.48 (-2.63, -0.34)	11.88
M. Hammami 2020 🛛 👘 🔹	-4.85 (-6.24, -3.45)	10.87
M. Hammami 2019 -	-2.06 (-2.83, -1.29)	13.25
Subgroup, DL (l <sup>2</sup> = 88.8%, p < 0.000)	-2.20 (-3.63, -0.76)	49.32
Heterogeneity between groups: p = 0.016		
Overall, DL (I <sup>2</sup> = 86.6%, p < 0.000)	-1.23 (-2.13, -0.32)	100.00
	5	
NOTE: Weights and between-subgroup beterogeneity test are from random-effects model		

Figure 3.15 Forest plot of the effect of PT duration on LS speed in female adolescent team sport athletes

# 2.1.2 Training frequency

No significant subgroup differences were found in LS speed between PT frequency  $\leq 2$  sessions/week (ES: -1.48, 95% CI: -2.54, -0.42) and >2 sessions/week (ES: -0.47, 95% CI: -2.39, 1.45) (p=0.365).



Figure 3.16 Forest plot of the effect of PT frequency on LS speed in female adolescent team sport athletes

#### 2.1.3 Total sessions

LS speed exhibited no significant subgroup differences between total PT sessions <18 sessions (ES: -0.54, 95% CI: -1.09, 0.00) and  $\geq$ 18 sessions (ES: -1.66, 95% CI: -3.09, -0.24) (*p*=0.151).



NOTE: Weights and between-subgroup heterogeneity test are from random-effects model

Figure 3.17 Forest plot of the effect of total PT sessions on LS speed in female adolescent team sport athletes

#### 2.1.4 Age

LS speed exhibited no significant subgroup differences between PT participants aged >15 years (ES: -2.13, 95% CI: -4.28, 0.03) and <15 years (ES: -0.75, 95% CI: -1.71, 0.20) (p=0.253).



Figure 3.18 Forest plot of the effect of PT participants' age on LS speed in female adolescent team sport athletes

#### 2.1.5 Height

PT participants <163 cm (ES: -0.72, 95% CI: -1.85, 0.40) and  $\geq$ 163 cm (ES: -1.80, 95% CI: -3.44, -0.17) had no significant subgroup differences in LS speed (p=0.286).



Figure 3.19 Forest plot of the effect of PT participants' height on LS speed in female adolescent team sport athletes

# 2.1.6 Weight

PT participants <57 kg (ES: -1.21, 95% CI: -2.05, -0.37) and  $\geq 57 \text{ kg}$  (ES: -1.31, 95% CI: -3.09, 0.47) had no significant subgroup differences in LS speed (p=0.919).



NOTE: Weights and between-subgroup heterogeneity test are from random-effects model

Figure 3.20 Forest plot of the effect of PT participants' weight on LS speed in female adolescent team sport athletes

#### 2.1.7 Type of sports

Following PT, handball players (ES: -3.39, 95% CI: -6.12, -0.66) had significant subgroup differences in LS speed from football (ES: -1.02, 95% CI: -2.02, -0.02), basketball (ES: -0.06, 95% CI: -0.61, 0.49), and volleyball players (ES: -0.98, 95% CI: -1.67, -0.29) (*p*=0.022).



Figure 3.21 Forest plot of the effect of type of sports on LS speed in female adolescent team sport athletes following PT

# 2.2 ST 2.2.1 Training duration

Significant subgroup differences were found in LS speed between ST duration  $\geq 10$  weeks (ES: -2.31, 95% CI: -4.04, -0.58) and <10 weeks (ES: -0.34, 95% CI: -0.71, 0.04) (*p*=0.029).



Figure 3.22 Forest plot of the effect of ST duration on LS speed in female adolescent team sport athletes

#### **2.2.2 Training frequency**

Significant subgroup differences were found in LS speed between ST frequency  $\leq 2$  sessions/week (ES: -2.29, 95% CI: -3.52, -1.05) and >2 sessions/week (ES: 0.03, 95% CI: -0.46, 0.52) (*p*=0.001).



Figure 3.23 Forest plot of the effect of ST frequency on LS speed in female adolescent team sport athletes

# 2.2.3 Total sessions

LS speed exhibited significant subgroup differences between total ST sessions  $\leq 20$  sessions (ES: -2.29, 95% CI: -3.52, -1.05) and  $\geq 20$  sessions (ES: 0.03, 95% CI: -0.46, 0.52) (*p*=0.001).



Figure 3.24 Forest plot of the effect of total ST sessions on LS speed in female adolescent team sport athletes

#### 2.2.4 Age

LS speed exhibited significant subgroup differences between ST participants aged >15 years (ES: -1.57, 95% CI: -2.51, -0.64) and <15 years (ES: 0.13, 95% CI: -1.24, 1.51) (p=0.044).



Figure 3.25 Forest plot of the effect of ST participants' age on LS speed in female adolescent team sport athletes

# 2.2.5 Height

ST participants  $\geq$ 163 cm (ES: -1.99, 95% CI: -3.11, -0.87) and <163 cm (ES: 0.05, 95% CI: -0.57, 0.67) had significant subgroup differences in LS speed (*p*=0.002).



Figure 3.26 Forest plot of the effect of ST participants' height on LS speed in female adolescent team sport athletes

#### 2.2.6 Weight

ST participants  $\geq$ 62 kg (ES: -2.87, 95% CI: -4.74, -1.00) and <62 kg (ES: -0.25, 95% CI: -0.74, 0.25) had significant subgroup differences in LS speed (*p*=0.008).



Figure 3.27 Forest plot of the effect of ST participants' weight on LS speed in female adolescent team sport athletes

# 2.2.7 Type of sports

Following ST, handball players (ES: -2.86, 95% CI: -4.62, -1.09) had significant subgroup differences in LS speed from football (ES: 0.06, 95% CI: -0.73, 0.84) and volleyball players (ES: -0.52, 95% CI: -1.05, 0.00) (p=0.012).



Figure 3.28 Forest plot of the effect of type of sports on LS speed in female adolescent team sport athletes following ST

# 3 COD speed 3.1 PT

# **3.1.1 Training duration**

Significant subgroup differences were found in COD speed between PT duration <9 weeks (ES: -0.10, 95% CI: -0.56, 0.35) and  $\geq$ 9 weeks (ES: -2.32, 95% CI: -3.30, -1.34) (*p*=0.000).



# Figure 3.29 Forest plot of the effect of PT duration on COD speed in female adolescent team sport athletes

# 3.1.2 Training frequency

No significant subgroup differences were found in COD speed between PT frequency  $\leq 2$  sessions/week (ES: -1.34, 95% CI: -2.45, -0.23) and >2 sessions/week (ES: -0.79, 95% CI: -2.21, 0.64) (p=0.548).



Figure 3.30 Forest plot of the effect of PT frequency on COD speed in female adolescent team sport athletes

#### 3.1.3 Total sessions

COD speed exhibited significant subgroup differences between total PT sessions <18 sessions (ES: -0.10, 95% CI: -0.63, 0.43) and  $\geq$ 18 sessions (ES: -1.88, 95% CI: -3.00, -0.75) (*p*=0.005).



Figure 3.31 Forest plot of the effect of total PT sessions on COD speed in female adolescent team sport athletes

# 3.1.4 Age

COD speed exhibited no significant subgroup differences between PT participants aged >15 years (ES: -2.04, 95% CI: -4.43, 0.35) and <15 years (ES: -0.74, 95% CI: -1.39, -0.10) (p=0.304).



NOTE: Weights and between-subgroup heterogeneity test are from random-effects model

Figure 3.32 Forest plot of the effect of PT participants' age on COD speed in female adolescent team sport athletes

#### 3.1.5 Height

PT participants  $\geq$ 163 cm (ES: -1.61, 95% CI: -3.03, -0.19) and <163 cm (ES: -0.62, 95% CI: -1.55, 0.31) had no significant subgroup differences in COD speed (*p*=0.253).



Figure 3.33 Forest plot of the effect of PT participants' height on COD speed in female adolescent team sport athletes

#### 3.1.6 Weight

PT participants  $\geq$ 59 kg (ES: -1.63, 95% CI: -3.42, 0.17) and <59 kg (ES: -0.82, 95% CI: -1.61, -0.02) had no significant subgroup differences in COD speed (*p*=0.418).





#### 3.1.7 Type of sports

Following PT, handball players (ES: -2.57, 95% CI: -3.84, -1.30) had significant subgroup differences in COD speed from basketball (ES: -0.10, 95% CI: -0.56, 0.35) and volleyball players (ES: -1.57, 95% CI: -2.73, -0.41) (*p*=0.000).



Figure 3.35 Forest plot of the effect of type of sports on COD speed in female adolescent team sport athletes following PT

# 3.2 **ST**

# **3.2.1 Training duration**

Significant subgroup differences were found in COD speed between ST duration  $\geq 10$  weeks (ES: -1.98, 95% CI: -2.55, -1.41) and <10 weeks (ES: -0.17, 95% CI: -0.55, 0.22) (*p*=0.000).



Figure 3.36 Forest plot of the effect of ST duration on COD speed in female adolescent team sport athletes

#### **3.2.2 Training frequency**

Significant subgroup differences were found in COD speed between ST frequency  $\leq 2$  sessions/week (ES: -1.58, 95% CI: -2.24, -0.91) and >2 sessions/week (ES: -0.05, 95% CI: -0.56, 0.45) (*p*=0.000).



Figure 3.37 Forest plot of the effect of ST frequency on COD speed in female adolescent team sport athletes

# 3.2.3 Total sessions

COD speed exhibited significant subgroup differences between total ST sessions  $\leq 20$  sessions (ES: -1.58, 95% CI: -2.24, -0.91) and  $\geq 20$  sessions (ES: -0.05, 95% CI: -0.56, 0.45) (*p*=0.000).



Figure 3.38 Forest plot of the effect of total ST sessions on COD speed in female adolescent team sport athletes

# 3.2.4 Age (>15 years, no grouping)

# 3.2.5 Height

ST participants  $\geq$ 163 cm (ES: -1.41, 95% CI: -2.07, -0.74) and <163 cm (ES: -0.05, 95% CI: -0.67, 0.57) had significant subgroup differences in COD speed (*p*=0.004).



Figure 3.39 Forest plot of the effect of ST participants' height on COD speed in female adolescent team sport athletes

## 3.2.6 Weight

ST participants  $\geq$ 63 kg (ES: -1.98, 95% CI: -2.55, -1.41) and <63 kg (ES: -0.17, 95% CI: -0.55, 0.22) had significant subgroup differences in COD speed (*p*=0.000).



Figure 3.40 Forest plot of the effect of ST participants' weight on COD speed in female adolescent team sport athletes

# 3.2.7 Type of sports

Following ST, handball players (ES: -1.73, 95% CI: -2.45, -1.01) had significant subgroup differences in COD speed from volleyball players (ES: -0.19, 95% CI: -0.62, 0.24) (*p*=0.000).

	Effect	%
Sport discipline and study	(95% CI)	Weight
Handball		
M. Hammami 2024 ST1	-3.05 (-4.29, -1.81)	7.80
M. Hammami 2024 ST2	-1.92 (-2.91, -0.92)	8.78
M. Hammami 2022(a)	-1.02 (-1.84, -0.19)	9.47
H. Genc 2019	-0.07 (-0.94, 0.81)	9.26
N. Gaamouri 2024	-2.82 (-3.87, -1.77)	8.56
N. Gaamouri 2023(b)	-1.78 (-2.59, -0.97)	9.53
M. Hammami 2022(b)	-1.81 (-2.68, -0.94)	9.29
Subgroup, DL (I <sup>2</sup> = 75.9%, p < 0.000)	-1.73 (-2.45, -1.01)	62.68
Volleybali		
I. Ince 2020 ST1	-0.32 (-1.17, 0.52)	9.40
. Ince 2020 ST2	-0.33 (-1.18, 0.51)	9.40
H. Arazi 2018 ST1	-0.04 (-0.92, 0.83)	9.26
H. Arazi 2018 ST2	-0.05 (-0.92, 0.83)	9.26
Subgroup, DL (l <sup>2</sup> = 0.0%, p = 0.936)	-0.19 (-0.62, 0.24)	37.32
Heterogeneity between groups: p = 0.000		
Overall, DL (I <sup>2</sup> = 80.2%, p < 0.000)	-1.16 (-1.77, -0.55)	100.00
-5 0	l 5	
NOTE: Weights and between-subgroup heterogeneity test are from random-effects mode	4	

Figure 3.41 Forest plot of the effect of type of sports on COD speed in female adolescent team sport athletes following ST