

Table 3. Correlation of physical activity levels, lesson content, and teacher involvement (N = 79).

Variables	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Physical activity levels	1. Sitting	-							
	2. Standing	-.60**							
	3. MVPA	.14	-.87**						
Lesson content	4. General	.11	-.04	-.02					
	5. Knowledge	.25*	-.10	-.03	-.16				
	6. Skill practices	-.11	-.03	-.10	-.17	-.10			
	7. Gameplay	-.05	-.17	.24*	.06	-.25*	-.56**		
Teacher involvement	8. Instructs generally	.14	-.25*	.23*	-.44**	.68**	.13	-.04	
	9. Manages	-.05	.26*	-.29*	.59**	-.25*	-.33**	.16	-.44**
	10. Observes	-.22	.05	.06	.15	-.54**	.32**	.07	-.62**

** $p < 0.01$; * $p < 0.05$ (two-tailed).

Table 3 illustrated the correlation matrix between the variables of physical activity levels, lesson content, and teacher involvement. Considering the inter-relationships, standing physical activity levels were negatively correlated with sitting ($r = -0.60$) and moderate-to-vigorous ($r = -0.87$). The lesson content of gameplay indicated negative correlations with knowledge ($r = -0.25$) and skill practices ($r = -0.56$). Instruction was in negative correlations with management ($r = -0.44$) and observation ($r = -0.62$) of teacher involvement. Regarding the intra-relationship between physical activity levels with lesson content and teacher involvement, sitting physical activity levels ($r = 0.25$) and MVPA ($r = 0.24$) were positively correlated with knowledge and gameplay lesson content correspondingly. Teacher involvement in instruction was negatively correlated with standing physical activity levels ($r = -0.25$) but positively correlated with MVPA ($r = 0.23$). Teacher management was positively correlated with standing physical activity levels ($r = 0.26$) and but negatively correlated with MVPA ($r = -0.29$). General lesson content was negatively correlated with instruction ($r = -0.44$) but positively correlated with management ($r = 0.59$). Knowledge lesson content was positively correlated with instruction ($r = 0.68$) but negatively correlated with management ($r = -0.25$) and observation ($r = -0.54$). Skill practice was negatively correlated with management ($r = -0.33$) but positively correlated with observation ($r = 0.32$).

Table 4 demonstrated the hierarchical linear regressions of physical activity levels which were predicted by each variable of lesson content and teacher involvement. Controlling for the demographic data, the variables of lesson content were significant predictors of each physical activity level: sitting, $F(11, 67) = 9.31$, $p < 0.001$, adjusted $R^2 = 0.54$; standing, $F(11, 67) = 20.1$, $p < 0.001$, adjusted $R^2 = 0.73$; MVPA: $F(11, 67) = 18.43$, $p < 0.001$, adjusted $R^2 = 0.71$. The lesson content of general ($\beta = 0.29$), knowledge ($\beta = .29$) and skill practices ($\beta = -0.25$) predicted a significant variance in sitting physical activity levels. General ($\beta = -0.25$), knowledge ($\beta = -0.16$) and gameplay ($\beta = -0.25$) lesson content were the negative predictors of standing physical activity levels. Skill practices ($\beta = 0.25$) and gameplay ($\beta = 0.38$) predicted an increase in MVPA. Controlling for the variables of demographic information and lesson content, the models of teacher involvement in predicting each physical activity level were significant: sitting, $F(14, 64) = 7.93$, $p < 0.001$, adjusted $R^2 = 0.55$; stand-

ing, $F(14, 64) = 19.8$, $p < 0.001$, adjusted $R^2 = 0.77$; MVPA: $F(14, 64) = 16.66$, $p < 0.001$, adjusted $R^2 = 0.74$. However, there was no significant change in the model of sitting physical activity levels, nor were there significant predictors for standing physical activity levels. Teacher instruction was the only significant predictor for MVPA ($\beta = 0.38$).

Discussion

Contemporary physical activity research in the educational context is concentrated at the school level, rather than in universities (Lynch and Sargent, 2020). This study supplemented relevant literature by implementing Sport Education in a university physical education and physical activity setting. Coherent to the suggestion made by McKenzie and Smith (2017), the current exploration utilized SOFIT to examine lesson content and teacher behavior in relation to the curriculum. Specifically, it investigated how the variables of lesson content and teacher behavior contributed to the physical activity levels of university students during the required physical education lessons. Study findings from the SOFIT data revealed that during lesson time, only 39% of students participated in MVPA, and weak intra-correlations were found between the variables. Contrarily, predictive effects were found from the attributes of lesson content and teacher involvement on different physical activity levels. Results may provide implications for the instruction and administration of future Sport Education applications within university physical education.

In this study, there are important factors influencing data collected during physical education lessons. These include the class characteristics (i.e. size, gender, time, and attendance), instructional goals, instructional content, and environmental conditions (McKenzie and Smith, 2017). The class size for university physical education courses was around 25 students, and they use at least one piece of equipment during lessons. The lesson goals of each class were consistent in the Sport Education curriculum. This contained the physical, affective, and cognitive domains of physical literacy (Hastie and Wallhead, 2015). As the coursework was designed collectively, the phases of all courses were indistinguishable. Nevertheless, the MVPA levels still varied when considering different independent variables, especially sports type and venue.

Table 4. Hierarchical linear regression for the physical activity levels in physical education lessons (N = 79).

Independent variables	Sitting			Standing			MVPA		
	B	SE B	β	B	SE B	β	B	SE B	β
Step 1: Demographic variables									
Sports	0.32	0.09	1.23***	-0.55	0.13	-1.04***	0.23	0.11	0.54*
Class gender	-0.19	0.07	-0.55**	0.08	0.1	0.11	0.11	0.08	0.20
Teacher gender	0.14	0.07	0.53*	-0.56	0.1	-1.04***	0.42	0.08	0.97***
Venue	0.14	0.03	0.51***	-0.28	0.05	0.52***	0.15	0.04	0.33**
Phase	-0.01	0.02	-0.06	0.01	0.03	0.03	0	0.03	0
Class size	-0.12	0.04	-0.86**	0.25	0.06	0.88***	-0.13	0.05	-0.57*
Attendance	0.09	0.19	0.04	0.76	0.3	0.19*	-0.87	0.24	-0.26**
R	.68			.83			.82		
Adjusted R ²	.41			.65			.64		
F	8.59***			21.91***			20.63***		
Step 2: Lesson content									
General	0.38	0.12	0.29**	-0.67	0.19	-0.25**	0.29	0.16	0.14
Knowledge	0.32	0.11	0.29**	-0.35	0.16	-0.16*	0.04	0.14	0.02
Skill practices	-0.18	0.08	-0.25*	-0.12	0.13	-0.08	0.3	0.11	0.25**
Game play	-0.08	0.09	-0.11	-0.4	0.14	-0.25**	0.49	0.11	0.38***
R	.78			.88			.87		
Adjusted R ²	.54			.73			.71		
F	9.31***			20.1***			18.43***		
ΔR ²	.15			.08			.08		
ΔF	6.19***			6.05***			5.47**		
Step 3: Teacher involvement									
Instructs generally	-0.01	0.16	-0.02	-0.44	0.24	-0.31	0.45	0.2	0.38*
Manages	-0.02	0.13	-0.02	-0.09	0.19	-0.05	0.1	0.17	0.07
Observes	-0.22	0.15	-0.28	0.19	0.21	0.11	0.03	0.18	0.02
R	.8			.9			.89		
Adjusted R ²	.55			.77			.74		
F	7.93***			19.8***			16.66***		
ΔR ²	.03			.05			.03		
ΔF	1.74			5.11**			3.29*		

MVPA = moderate to vigorous physical activity; B = unstandardized coefficients beta; SE B = standard Error for the unstandardized coefficients; β = standardized coefficients beta; *** p < 0.001; ** p < 0.01; * p < 0.05.

One of the handball lessons was canceled because of adverse weather, instead, the lecturer provided some knowledge and video contents, and students discussed team tactics. The size of the instructional space impacts students' activity levels. In team sports courses, students were provided a half-court for their activities, however, a full court was provided for groups in the badminton course. Generally, most of the courses were team sports and racket sports. These sports promote high MVPA. An exception is woodball - a modified sport that combines golf and croquet, and usually involves standing and walking throughout the session. To adjust the intensity, the first 15 minutes of woodball lessons were designated fitness sessions. In this context, badminton and woodball were categorized as individual sports but in indoor and outdoor venues respectively. Due to the nature of the sports, the badminton course typically presented higher MVPA levels than woodball. Since both sports were considered individual sports, the possibility of underestimating its percentage of activity levels cannot be ignored.

Quality physical education should be designed to maximize students' participation in MVPA for 50% of lesson time to enjoy health benefits and reduce chronic disease risks (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2010). In this study, however, 12% and 49% of lesson time were indicated as sitting and standing physical activity respectively. This was predominantly contributed by general

and knowledge content, especially the positive predictive effects of the period of sitting physical activity. Teachers should decrease the amount of time spent on classroom management by considering the features of Sport Education and incorporating these into lessons (Whitehead et al., 2018). Taking record keeping as an example. Teachers could use scores to motivate students to complete their tasks more efficiently during the pre-season phase (Siedentop et al., 2019). Transferring responsibilities is one of the key principles to nurture physical literacy (Durden-Myers et al., 2018). Although students may struggle at first, teachers could also encourage them to design some corporate goals and tasks after class. These methods may create a more dynamic lesson environment which may contribute to the increment of MVPA standard of 50% lesson time.

The remaining 39% lesson time of MVPA was positively predicted by the variance of skill practice and game-play lesson content. Sport Education employs a progressive competition format from small-sided games, modified games to formal competition over phases. This format leads to a greater variance of gameplay than skill content during the lessons. Besides the conventional skill practice sessions, students could also develop their movements and group tactics in a more authentic but unpredictable setting (Pot et al., 2018). Further, teacher instruction is a predictor of MVPA when controlling other independent variables. Instruction during MVPA usually happens when students

receive feedback after finishing a single task but are still walking or jogging. Given the analytical method of hierarchical linear regression, unfortunately, this study could not investigate whether skill practice or gameplay occurred concurrently with teacher instruction. Purposefully, some methods to increase lesson MVPA should be discussed. The researchers organized a professional development workshop to promote Sport Education before redesigning and implementing the curriculum. On-site consultation could be provided when teachers are unfamiliar with the changing roles of teachers and students (Sum et al., 2020). Follow-up workshops should also be organized for teachers to share good practices and strategies for increasing MVPA during physical education lessons (Sum et al., 2018).

It is important to highlight the limitations of this study, especially for the benefit of future investigators. Only one university provided mandatory physical education, funded by the University Grant Committee of Hong Kong, and was thus selected for this study. Other universities provided optional physical activity courses. These were not included in this study. However, their statistics may homogenize the demographic characteristics of teacher- and student- participants. Accordingly, the findings of this study may not be generalized to other educational and recreational settings. Although SOFIT is a validated surveillance instrument, we cannot exclude any probabilities that lecturers and students may be disturbed throughout the courses. Since this study aimed to discover the predictors of physical activity levels, although we conducted a series of t-tests for the course phases, we gathered all lessons into hierarchical linear regression analyses given the small sample size. This may underrate the disparity across different phases from the teacher-directed phases to pre-season and formal competition. In addition, there was only one instrument to assess the physical activity levels of students during physical education lessons, and more data collection methods (e.g. accelerometer, pedometer, etc.) may provide supplementary sources for measuring and analyzing physical activity levels.

Since the UNESCO Quality Physical Education Guidelines only covered primary and secondary education (McLennan and Thompson, 2015), a lack of related information was provided for university programs. Future studies are thus warranted on various instructional models in researching physical activity levels during university physical education, especially in Sport Education. Prospective studies could contribute to whether there is concurrent pertinence between skill practice or gameplay content with teacher instruction. This may present more understanding of how teachers instruct in using a game-based instructional model. Furthermore, such analysis could extend to the comparison between each phase if there is a larger course sample size. Sport Education develops physical literacy (Pot et al., 2018), which is about an individual valuing and being responsible for lifelong physical activities. Researchers could investigate transforming physical activities from physical education to the recreational context through a longitudinal study design (Wang et al., 2020). Such guidelines for the university's physical education would be more influential with these robust analytical data.

Conclusion

This study designed and implemented Sport Education for the required physical education courses in the university context. SOFIT findings indicated that the students did not meet the MVPA recommendation of 50% lesson time. Many lessons were seen to be dominated by teachers' instruction and team discussion, which produced patterns of sitting and standing physical activities. Suggestions for utilizing the element of Sport Education were made. Take record-keeping as an example, teachers could give the team hustle points and role points when students completed the task in the shortest time and accomplished their responsibilities respectively. Remarkably, gameplay contributed to the lesson MVPA significantly in the university curriculum of Sport Education, given the synergy between gameplay content and teacher behavior is under-estimated. In conclusion, this study strengthened the Sport Education research in the following two dimensions – the university physical education context and the data collection and analysis through SOFIT.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Teaching Development and Language Enhancement Grant, The Chinese University of Hong Kong (7102347). The experiments comply with the current laws of the country in which they were performed. The authors have no conflict of interest to declare. The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are not publicly available, but are available from the corresponding author who was an organizer of the study.

References

- André, M.H. and Hastie, P.A. (2017) Sport education in a higher education physical activity course. *European Journal of Physical Education and Sport Science* **3**(6), 22-35.
- Annesi, J.J., Porter, K.J., Hill, G.M. and Goldfine, B.D. (2017) Effects of instructional physical activity courses on overall physical activity and mood in university students. *Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport* **88**, 358-364.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/02701367.2017.1336280>
- Beaudoin, C., Parker, T., Tiemersma, K. and Lewis, C. (2018) Evaluating university physical activity courses from student and instructor perspectives. *Journal of Physical Education Recreation and Dance* **89**(1), 7-11.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/07303084.2017.1390508>
- Bessa, C., Hastie, P., Araujo, R. and Mesquita, I. (2019) What do we know about the development of personal and social skills within the sport education model: a systematic review. *Journal of Sports Science and Medicine* **18**(4), 812-829.
<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31827367/>
- Cardinal, B.J. (2017) Quality college and university instructional physical activity programs contribute to mens sana in corpore sano, "the good life," and healthy societies. *Quest* **69**(4), 531-541.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/00336297.2017.1320295>
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2010) Strategies to Improve the Quality of Physical Education. Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.
- Chow, B.C., McKenzie, T.L. and Louie, L. (2009) Physical activity and environmental influences during secondary school physical education. *Journal of Teaching in Physical Education* **28**(1), 21-37.
<https://doi.org/10.1123/jtpe.28.1.21>
- Condello, G., Puggina, A., Aleksovska, K., Buck, C., Burns, C., Cardon, G., Carlin, A., Simon, C., Ciarapica, D., Coppinger, T., Cortis, C., D'Haese, S., De Craemer, M., Di Blasio, A., Hansen, S., Iacoviello, L., Issartel, J., Izzicupo, P., Jaeschke, L., Kanning, M., Kennedy, A., Ling, F.C.M., Luzak, A., Napolitano, G., Nazare, J.A., Perchoux, C., Pesce, C., Pischon, T., Polito, A., Sannella, A., Schulz, H., Sohun, R., Steinbrecher, A., Schlicht, W., Ricciardi, W., MacDonncha, C., Capranica, L., Boccia, S. and

- Consortium, D. (2017) Behavioral determinants of physical activity across the life course: a "DEterminants of DIet and Physical ACTivity" (DEDIPAC) umbrella systematic literature review. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity* **14**(1), 58. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12966-017-0510-2>
- Department of Health. (2010) Action Plan to Promote Healthy Diet and Physical Activity Participation in Hong Kong. Hong Kong: Government Logistics Department.
- Department of Health. (2017) Behavioural Risk Factor Survey (April 2016). Hong Kong: Department of Health.
- Dinger, M.K., Brittain, D.R. and Hutchinson, S.R. (2014) Associations between physical activity and health-related factors in a national sample of college students. *Journal of American College Health* **62**(1), 67-74. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07448481.2013.849710>
- Durden-Myers, E.J., Green, N.R. and Whitehead, M.E. (2018) Implications for promoting physical literacy. *Journal of Teaching in Physical Education* **37**(3), 262-271. <https://doi.org/10.1123/jtpe.2018-0131>
- Field, A. (2017) *Discovering Statistics Using IBM SPSS Statistics*. London: SAGE Publications Ltd.
- Hastie, P.A., de Ojeda, D.M. and Luquin, A.C. (2011) A review of research on sport education: 2004 to the present. *Physical Education and Sport Pedagogy* **16**(2), 103-132. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17408989.2010.535202>
- Hastie, P.A. and Trost, S.G. (2002) Student physical activity levels during a season of sport education. *Pediatric Exercise Science* **14**(1), 64-74. <https://doi.org/10.1123/pes.14.1.64>
- Hastie, P.A. and Wallhead, T.L. (2015) Operationalizing physical literacy through sport education. *Journal of Sport and Health Science* **4**, 132-138. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jshs.2015.04.001>
- Jenkins, J.M. and Alderman, B.L. (2011) Influence of sport education on group cohesion in university physical education. *Journal of Teaching in Physical Education* **30**(3), 214-230. <https://doi.org/10.1123/jtpe.30.3.214>
- Jenkins, J.M., Jenkins, P., Collums, A. and Werhoniig, G. (2006) Student perceptions of a conceptual physical education activity course. *Physical Educator* **63**(4), 210-221.
- Kwon, S., Welch, S. and Mason, M. (2020) Physical education environment and student physical activity levels in low-income communities. *BioMed Central Public Health* **20**(1), 147. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-020-8278-8>
- Lafleur, M., Strongin, S., Cole, B.L., Bullock, S.L., Banthia, R., Craypo, L., Sivasubramanian, R., Samuels, S. and Garcia, R. (2013) Physical education and student activity: evaluating implementation of a new policy in Los Angeles public schools. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine* **45**(Suppl 1), 122-130. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12160-012-9431-0>
- Layne, T. and Yli-Piipari, S. (2015) Effects of the sport education model on university students game performance and content knowledge in basketball. *Journal of Sports Research* **2**(2), 24-36. <https://doi.org/10.18488/journal.90/2015.2.2/90.2.24.36>
- Liu, W., Nichols, R.A. and Zillifro, T.D. (2013) Comparison and comparability: fitness tracking between youths with different physical activity levels. *Measurement in Physical Education and Exercise Science* **17**(4), 295-309. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1091367X.2013.831764>
- Lynch, S. and Sargent, J. (2020) Using the meaningful physical education features as a lens to view student experiences of democratic pedagogy in higher education. *Physical Education and Sport Pedagogy* **26**(6), 629-642. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17408989.2020.1779684>
- McBride, R.E. and Xiang, P. (2013) Self-regulated learning and perceived health among students participating in university physical activity classes. *Journal of Teaching in Physical Education* **32**(3), 220-236. <https://doi.org/10.1123/jtpe.32.3.220>
- McKenzie, T.L. (2015) *System for Observing Fitness Instruction Time Description and Procedures Manual*. San Diego, CA: San Diego State University.
- McKenzie, T.L. and Smith, N.J. (2017) Studies of physical education in the United States using SOFIT: a review. *Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport* **88**(4), 492-502. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02701367.2017.1376028>
- McLennan, N. and Thompson, J. (2015) *Quality Physical Education: Guidelines for Policy Makers*. Paris, France: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization.
- Mersh, R. and Fairclough, S.J. (2010) Physical activity, lesson context and teacher behaviours within the revised English national curriculum for physical education: a case study of one school. *European Physical Education Review* **16**(1), 29-45. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1356336X10369199>
- Molina-García, J., Queralt, A., Castillo, I. and Sallis, J.F. (2015) Changes in physical activity domains during the transition out of high school: psychosocial and environmental correlates. *Journal of Physical Activity and Health* **12**(10), 1414-1420. <https://doi.org/10.1123/jpah.2014-0412>
- Parker, M.B. and Curtner-Smith, M.D. (2005) Health-related fitness in sport education and multi-activity teaching. *Physical Education & Sport Pedagogy* **10**(1), 1-18. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1740898042000334872>
- Pot, N., Whitehead, M.E. and Durden-Myers, E.J. (2018) Physical literacy from philosophy to practice. *Journal of Teaching in Physical Education* **37**(3), 246-251. <https://doi.org/10.1123/jtpe.2018-0133>
- Perlman, D. (2012) The influence of the sport education model on amotivated students' in-class physical activity. *European Physical Education Review* **18**(3), 335-345. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1356336X12450795>
- Powell, E., Woodfield, L.A., Nevill, A.M., Powell, A.J. and Myers, T.D. (2019) 'We have to wait in a queue for our turn quite a bit': examining children's physical activity during primary physical education lessons. *European Physical Education Review* **25**(4), 929-948. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1356336X18785343>
- Pritchard, T., Hansen, A., Grossman, H., Williams, M. and Loomis, S. (2019) Analysis of the sport education tactical model in badminton university physical activity courses. *Physical Educator* **76**(3), 832-847. <https://doi.org/10.18666/TPE-2019-V76-13-8800>
- Siedentop, D., Hastie, P. and Van der Mars, H. (2019) *Complete Guide to Sport Education*. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.
- Smith, L., Harvey, S., Savory, L., Fairclough, S., Kozub, S. and Kerr, C. (2015) Physical activity levels and motivational responses of boys and girls: a comparison of direct instruction and tactical games models of games teaching in physical education. *European Physical Education Review* **21**(1), 93-113. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1356336X14555293>
- Smith, N.J., Lounsbury, M.A. and McKenzie, T.L. (2014) Physical activity in high school physical education: impact of lesson context and class gender composition. *Journal of Physical Activity and Health* **11**(1), 127-135. <https://doi.org/10.1123/jpah.2011-0334>
- Stylianou, M., Kloepffel, T., Kulinna, P. and van der Mars, H. (2016) Teacher fidelity to a physical education curricular model and physical activity outcomes. *Journal of Teaching in Physical Education* **35**(4), 337-348. <https://doi.org/10.1123/jtpe.2016-0112>
- Sum, K.W.R., Morgan, K., Ma, M.M.S. and Choi, S.M. (2020) The influence of a customized continuing professional development programme on physical education teachers' perceived physical literacy and efficacy beliefs. *Prospects*, 1-20. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11125-020-09471-4>
- Sum, K.W.R., Wallhead, T., Ha, S.C.A. and Sit, H.P.C. (2018) Effects of physical education continuing professional development on teachers' physical literacy and self-efficacy and students' learning outcomes. *International Journal of Educational Research* **88**, 1-8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijer.2018.01.001>
- Sutherland, R., Campbell, E., Lubans, D.R., Morgan, P.J., Okely, A.D., Nathan, N., Gillham, K., Lecathelinais, C. and Wiggers, J. (2016) Physical education in secondary schools located in low-income communities: Physical activity levels, lesson context and teacher interaction. *Journal of Science & Medicine in Sport* **19**(2), 135-141. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsams.2014.12.003>
- Thomas, A.M., Beaudry, K.M., Gammage, K.L., Klentrou, P. and Josse, A.R. (2019) Physical activity, sport participation, and perceived barriers to engagement in first-year Canadian university students. *Journal of Physical Activity and Health* **16**(6), 437-446. <https://doi.org/10.1123/jpah.2018-0198>
- To, Q.G., Wharton, L., Gallegos, D., Stylianou, M., Do, D.V., To, K.G., Tran, H.T. and Trost, S.G. (2020) School-based physical education: physical activity and implementation barriers in Vietnamese elementary schools. *European Physical Education Review* **26**(2), 587-606. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1356336X19878746>
- United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization. (2015) *International Charter of Physical Education, Physical Activity*

and Sport. Paris, France: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization.

- Wahl-Alexander, Z. and Chomentowski, P. (2018) Impact of a university physical conditioning sport education season on students' fitness levels. *Health Education Journal* **77**(7), 828-836. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0017896918776340>
- Wahl-Alexander, Z. and Morehead, C.A. (2017) Comparing campers' physical activity levels between sport education and traditional instruction in a residential summer camp. *Journal of Physical Activity & Health* **14**(9), 665-670. <https://doi.org/10.1123/jpah.2017-0039>
- Wallhead, T. and O'Sullivan, M. (2005) Sport education: physical education for the new millennium? *Physical Education and Sport Pedagogy* **10**(2), 181-210. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17408980500105098>
- Wang, F.J., Cheng, C.F., Chen, M.Y. and Sum, K.R. (2020) Temporal precedence of physical literacy and basic psychological needs satisfaction: a cross-lagged longitudinal analysis of university students. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* **17**(12), 4615. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17124615>
- Whitehead, M.E., Durden-Myers, E.J. and Pot, N. (2018) The value of fostering physical literacy. *Journal of Teaching in Physical Education* **37**(3), 252-261. <https://doi.org/10.1123/jtpe.2018-0139>
- World Health Organization. (2010) Global Recommendations on Physical Activity for Health. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization.

Key points

- This pioneering study used SOFIT to investigate the physical activity levels of students at a university where Sport Education was implemented.
- Results indicated the student-participants did not meet the MVPA recommendation of PE lessons and this situation may have been contributed by time spent in knowledge acquisition and general content.
- Future research could focus on the relationship between gameplay content and teacher behaviors, and the application of objective measures such as accelerometers and pedometers.

AUTHOR BIOGRAPHY



Siu Ming CHOI

Employment

PhD Candidate, Department of Sports Science and Physical Education, The Chinese University of Hong Kong

Degree

MPhil

Research interests

Physical literacy; Sport Education; Physical education; Physical activity

E-mail: choisiuming@link.cuhk.edu.hk



Kim Wai Raymond SUM

Employment

Associate Professor, Department of Sports Science and Physical Education, The Chinese University of Hong Kong

Degree

EdD

Research interests

Physical Literacy; Professionalization of PE teachers and their learning communities; Elite athletes' career and their lives

E-mail: kwsum@cuhk.edu.hk



Fung Lin Elean LEUNG

Employment

Director, Physical Education Unit, The Chinese University of Hong Kong

Degree

PhD

Research interests

Efficacy of the Internet for physical activity promotion among university students; Physical activity promotion on campus

E-mail: elean@cuhk.edu.hk



Sau Ching Amy HA

Employment

Professor, Department of Sports Science and Physical Education, The Chinese University of Hong Kong

Degree

PhD

Research interests

Physical education; Application of technology in PE; Family-based physical activity; Fundamental movement skills; Physical literacy; Teacher education and professional development

E-mail: sauchingha@cuhk.edu.hk



Cindy SIT

Employment

Professor, Department of Sports Science and Physical Education, The Chinese University of Hong Kong

Degree

PhD

Research interests

Participation motivation for exercise and sport; Physical activity and sedentary behaviour of children with disabilities; Adapted physical activity and fundamental movement skills

E-mail: sithp@cuhk.edu.hk



Ka Ho YEUNG

Employment

Physical Education Teacher, Fong Shu Fook Tong Foundation Fong Shu Chuen Primary School

Degree

BSocSc, PGDE(P)

Research interests

Physical literacy; Sport Education; Physical education; Rugby coaching

E-mail: jackykh911@gmail.com

✉ Kim Wai Raymond Sum

G09, Kwok Sports Building, Department of Sports Science and Physical Education, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong.